



Significant Increase of Patient Information and Satisfaction with Longer Initial Consultation Duration in Breast Cancer - First Results of the WAVES Study

Nina Ditsch^{*,†,1,2} and Melitta B. Köpke^{†,1,2,31}, Renate Haidinger¹³, Brigitte Welter¹⁵, Ute-Susann Albert^{1,3}, Christoph Aulmann^{1,4,30}, Traudl Baumgartner¹², Stefanie Corradini^{1,5}, Christian Dannecker^{1,2}, Johannes Ettl^{1,6,24}, Nadia Harbeck^{1,7}, Carolin C. Hack^{1,17}, Anne Herrmann^{1,23,29}, Christian Hinske¹⁶, Marion Kiechle^{1,6}, Alkomiet Hasan^{18,26}, Klaus E. Jopp²⁰, Monika Klinkhammer-Schalke²⁵, Oliver Koelbl^{1,9}, Christoph Jung²¹, Olaf Ortmann^{1,9}, Anna Rubeck¹⁰, Gernot Müller¹⁰, Verena Schmid¹¹, Frank Kramer¹¹, Franziska Feiler¹¹, Eva Schildmann³⁰, Stephan Seitz^{1,9}, Miriam Weiss⁸, Nadja Will¹⁹, Achim Wöckel^{1,3}, Eva Schumacher-Wulff¹⁴, Hedy Kerek-Bodden²⁷, Peter Jurmeister²⁸, Carl Mathis Wild^{2,16}, Rachel Wuerstein^{1,7}, Peter A. Fasching^{1,17}, Susanne Kinnebrock²², Miriam Kunz⁸, Stefan Schiele¹⁰, Matthias W. Beckmann^{1,17}

¹Bavarian Cancer Research Center (BZKF), study group breast cancer, Maximiliansplatz 2, 91054 Erlangen, Germany

²Gynecology, Obstetrics and Senology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Augsburg, Stenglinstr. 2, 86156 Augsburg, Germany

³Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, University Hospital Würzburg, Josef-Schneider-Strasse 4, 97080 Würzburg, Germany

⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Augsburg University Hospital, Stenglinstr. 2, 86156 Augsburg, Germany

⁵Clinic and Polyclinic for Radiotherapy and Radiooncology, LMU Klinikum, Marchioninstr. 15, 81377 Munich, Germany

⁶Clinic and Polyclinic for Gynecology, Technical University of Munich, Ismaninger Str. 22, 81675 Munich, Germany

⁷Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Breast Center and CCC Munich, LMU Klinikum, Marchioninstr 15, 81377 Munich, Germany

⁸Department of Medical Psychology and Sociology, University of Augsburg, Universitätsstraße 2, 86159 Augsburg, Germany

⁹University Hospital Regensburg, Landshuter Str. 65, 93053 Regensburg, Germany

¹⁰Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, University of Augsburg, Universitätsstraße 2, 86159 Augsburg, Germany

¹¹IT Infrastructures for Translational Medical Research, University of Augsburg, Universitätsstraße 2, 86159 Augsburg, Germany

¹²BRCA Network e.V., Thomas-Mann-Str. 40, 53111 Bonn, Germany

¹³Brustkrebs Deutschland e.V., Lise-Meitner-Str. 7, 85662 Hohenbrunn, Germany

¹⁴Mamma-MIA – The Cancer Magazine, Maria-Hilf-Str. 15, 50677 Cologne, Germany

¹⁵Mamazone e.V., Max-Hempel-Str. 3, 86153 Augsburg, Germany

¹⁶Institute for Digital Medicine, University Augsburg, Stenglinstr. 2, 86156 Augsburg, Germany

¹⁷Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Comprehensive Cancer Center ER-EMN, University Hospital, Friedrich-Alexander-University, Universitätsstr. 23, 91054 Erlangen, Germany

¹⁸Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Augsburg, Geschwister-Schönert-Str. 1, 86156 Augsburg, Germany.

¹⁹Think pink club® e.V., Paulusstr. 45a, 33602 Bielefeld, Germany

²⁰Jopp Communications, Röntgenstr. 1, 86415 Mering, Germany

²¹Practice for Oncology, Hematology, palliative care Traunstein, Schierghofstr. 1, 83278 Traunstein, Germany

²²Public Communication, Universitätsstraße 10, 86159 Augsburg, Germany

²³Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Medical Sociology, University Hospital Regensburg, Franz-Josef-Strauss-Allee 11, Germany

²⁴Hospital Kempten, Robert-Weixler-Straße 50, 87439 Kempten, Germany

²⁵Tumor Center Regensburg, Center for Quality Assurance and Health Services Research at the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Regensburg, Am BioPark 9, 93053 Regensburg, Germany

²⁶DZPG (German Center for Mental Health), partner site München/Augsburg, Geschwister-Schönert-Straße 1, 86156 Augsburg, Germany.

²⁷Frauenselbsthilfe nach Krebs e.V., Thomas-Mann-Str. 40, 53111 Bonn, Germany

²⁸Netzwerk Männer mit Brustkrebs e.V., Höhenstraße 4, 75196 Remchingen, Germany

²⁹Department of Internal Medicine III, University Hospital Regensburg, Franz-Josef-Strauss-Allee 11, 93053 Regensburg, Germany

³⁰Palliative Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Augsburg, Stenglinstr. 2, 86156 Augsburg, Germany

³¹National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT), NCT WERA, Augsburg, Germany.

+These authors should be considered as first co-authors on equal terms.

*Corresponding author: Nina Ditsch, Gynaecology, Obstetrics and Senology, University of Augsburg, Breast Center, University Hospital, Stenglinstr. 2, 86156 Augsburg, Germany

Abstract

Aim of the study: The "WAVES" study (Widening Aims and giving patients a Voice for Expanded Structures in breast cancer care developed jointly by patients and physicians) aims to illuminate current breast cancer care structures with special focus on physician-patient-communication.

Methods: The study is conducted within and funded by the BZKF (Bavarian Center for Cancer Research). Here, we present the results of the first preplanned analysis of the survey designed together with patients and patient advocates with the aim of adequately reflecting patients concerns. It is based on the evaluation of the first 1.000 patients who participated between 05/2022 and 08/2023, focusing on the duration of the first diagnosis consultation.

Results: The participants were between 23 and 89 years old (mean: 59.18 years). There was a significant association between longer initial consultation duration and higher patient satisfaction ($p < 0.001$). When the first consultation lasted 30 minutes or more, patients stated more frequently that they felt better informed ($p < 0.001$) and had fully or substantially understood the content ($p < 0.001$).

Conclusion: These results demonstrate a significantly higher satisfaction and better preparation of patients with initial breast cancer diagnosis if physicians' communication lasted 30 minutes or more. Therefore the WAVES study clearly demonstrates the need for improved communication structures in terms of an appropriate time frame for breast cancer patients, which is not reflected in the current reimbursement structures.

Keywords: Early Breast cancer, Metastatic Breast Cancer, survey, physician-patient communication, Current Care Structure.

Introduction

The diagnosis of breast cancer is associated with physical restriction and a heavy psychological burden [1]. Diagnosis, treatment and aftercare therefore require long-term cooperation between the patient and different medical disciplines [2]. However, even if the cancer is overcome, some people still suffer from health restrictions, anxiety or social consequences [3]. This indicates the importance of good clinical care and aftercare. The physician-patient-relationship forms the basis of any disease-related treatment [4-6]. Targeted support from medical staff and self-help

groups can help patients to cope with physical and mental stress. Non-directive and evidence-based communication focusing on current needs, values, problems, resources, and preferences exerts beneficial effects [7, 8]. However, the impact of adequate communication is often underestimated and therefore in Germany currently not reflected in planned consultation times or appropriate reimbursement. On the other hand, huge sums are spent on imaging procedures even with exposition to radiation, despite unproven benefits. Therefore, integrating communication as a quality indicator in structured education, treatment and aftercare is the worldwide approach [9]. Nevertheless, this is often difficult in everyday clinical practice, given the scarcity of time and personnel resources.

Evidence-based guideline recommendations for diagnosis, treatment and aftercare often do not consider the real-world setting. In particular, communication over the entire treatment period and beyond is usually only poorly considered as one of the most important prerequisites for successful therapy. To our knowledge, there are also no nationally established communication training standards for physicians. This is precisely where the WAVES study (Widening Aims and giving patients a Voice for Expanded Structures in breast cancer care jointly developed by patients and physicians) comes in. The idea for the study was developed in collaboration with patient advocates. The study focuses on evaluation of the current state of breast cancer care in Germany from the perspective of both patients and physicians. The first survey for patients has the aim of adequately reflecting patients' concerns especially with regard to physician-patient communication with the initial diagnosis of breast cancer. The second questionnaire for patients focuses on topics related to side effects, artificial intelligence and communication during treatment and aftercare. The questionnaire for treating physicians, again designed with patient representatives, focuses on the current care situation of breast cancer patients, based on their communication behaviors. In the long term, the study aims to develop an improved Patient-Centered Communication-Care-Concept (PCCCC) for breast cancer that also takes into account physicians' time management.

Materials and Methods

The study is conducted within and funded by the BZKF (Bavarian Center for Cancer Research). The active involvement and participation of patient and caregiver representatives is unique about WAVES - from conceptualization of the study, the development of the questionnaire to the recruitment as well as the publication and elaboration of the resulting consequences. The study is structured as a two-part questionnaire for breast cancer patients and a corresponding questionnaire for physicians.

Citation: Nina Ditsch, Melitta B. Köpke, Renate Haidinger, Brigitte Welter, Ute-Susann Albert, Christoph Aulmann, Traudl Baumgartner, Stefanie Corradini, Christian Dannecker, Johannes Ettl, Nadia Harbeck, Carolin C. Hack, Anne Herrmann, Christian Hinske, Marion Kiechle, Alkomiet Hasan, Klaus E. Jopp, Monika Klinkhammer-Schalke, Oliver Koelbl, Christoph Jung, Olaf Ortmann, Anna Rubeck, Gernot Müller, Verena Schmid, Frank Kramer, Franziska Feiler, Eva Schildmann, Stephan Seitz, Miriam Weiss, Nadja Will, Achim Wöckel, Eva Schumacher-Wulff, Hedy Kerek-Bodden, Peter Jurmeister, Carl Mathis Wild, Rachel Wuerstlein, Peter A. Fasching, Susanne Kinnebrock, Miriam Kunz, Stefan Schiele, Matthias W. Beckmann. Significant Increase of Patient Information and Satisfaction with Longer Initial Consultation Duration in Breast Cancer - First Results of The WAVES Study. Fortune Journal of Health Sciences. 8 (2025): 296-305.

The first part of the patient questionnaire requests information on: general patient and anamnestic information, initial disclosure of breast cancer diagnosis, second opinion and self-study, treatment period with focus on communication, experience as male breast cancer patient, support from non-physician medical personnel, patient advocacy and support groups, characteristics, diagnosis and treatment of the disease and aftercare. The precise questions' wording chosen for this publication are listed in the Appendix.

Patients from German certified breast centers (university* and non-university**) and patient advocate networks*** were invited to participate in the WAVES study. Furthermore, the study was promoted online. The study is still recruiting. This article presents the first cross-sectional preplanned analysis of the first part of the survey after reaching n=1.000 and is based on those patients who completed the first part questionnaire by the cut-off date (10 August 2023). All participants gave written informed consent and have received or are still receiving treatment for breast cancer, proven by means of a document (e.g. physician's letter). Women and men aged 18 years and older were eligible to participate, regardless of disease stage or time of treatment. The questionnaire could be answered either online or in paper form. Descriptive data and distribution characteristics of the items were calculated for evaluation. Categorical variables were compared by using Chi-Squared tests and continuous variables by using Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney or Kruskal-Wallis test. The Bonferroni adjustment was used for multiple comparisons. All statistical analyses were performed with R (Version 4.3.1). The WAVES study was approved by the ethics committee of the Ludwig-Maximilian-University Munich, Germany (Approval 22-0040) and was performed in compliance with German law and according to the standards set in the Declaration of Helsinki 2013. The trial is registered at ClinicalTrial.gov (NCT06416293).

Results

Cohort description

The majority (723 participants) was treated at one of the six Bavarian university hospitals, 228 patients came from other non-university hospitals in Bavaria, 41 from other federal German states; 8 did not give any information. The vast majority (98%) of participants were women, 2% men; diverse was not selected as an answer option. The mean age was 59.18 years (1st and 3rd quartiles: 51–68) and ranged from 23 to 89 years. 224 (23%) patients were undergoing treatment for primary breast cancer at the time of the survey, 645 (66.1%) were in follow-up care, 107 (10.1%) were undergoing treatment for metastatic or locally progressive disease (a detailed overview of the tumor characteristics is reported in appendix table 1). High school graduates were represented slightly above average in the cohort compared to the national average of 34% in 2022 (for details see Table 1).

Patient characteristics and emotions impacting overall satisfaction with first consultation

Overall satisfaction (feeling of being well informed) with the consultation at initial diagnosis showed no association with the age of the patient (≤ 50 vs. > 50 years) ($\chi^2(1) = 0.22$, $p=0.642$) or metastatic disease ($\chi^2(1)=1.30$, $p=0.255$). Patient satisfaction was highest when the initial consultation was conducted by gynecologists ($\chi^2(4) = 16.85$, $p = 0.002$). Patients with a high school diploma were more likely to be dissatisfied with the explanatory discussion compared to patients with lower school leaving certificate (Fig. 1).

Predominant feelings in connection with the announcement of the diagnosis were shock (54.8%), fear (39.7%) and helplessness (27.7%), with no significant difference between patients with a shorter or longer period of counseling (example fear: $\chi^2(4) = 7.94$; $p = 0.094$).

Table 1: Overview of Age, Sex and School Education of the Participants

Variable	Mean	1 st and 3 rd quartile	Minimum - Maximum
Age	59.18	51, 68	23 – 89
	Category	Number	Percent
Sex	Female	980	98
	male	20	2
School education	High school ("Abitur")	410	41.4
	Secondary School	393	39.7
	Middle School	179	18.1
	No School Diploma	8	0.8

Citation: Nina Ditsch, Melitta B. Köpke, Renate Haidinger, Brigitte Welter, Ute-Susann Albert, Christoph Aulmann, Traudl Baumgartner, Stefanie Corradini, Christian Dannecker, Johannes Ettl, Nadia Harbeck, Carolin C. Hack, Anne Herrmann, Christian Hinske, Marion Kiechle, Alkomiet Hasan, Klaus E. Jopp, Monika Klinkhammer-Schalke, Oliver Koelbl, Christoph Jung, Olaf Ortmann, Anna Rubeck, Gernot Müller, Verena Schmid, Frank Kramer, Franziska Feiler, Eva Schildmann, Stephan Seitz, Miriam Weiss, Nadja Will, Achim Wöckel, Eva Schumacher-Wulff, Hedy Kerek-Bodden, Peter Jurmeister, Carl Mathis Wild, Rachel Wuerstlein, Peter A. Fasching, Susanne Kinnebrock, Miriam Kunz, Stefan Schiele, Matthias W. Beckmann. Significant Increase of Patient Information and Satisfaction with Longer Initial Consultation Duration in Breast Cancer - First Results of The WAVES Study. Fortune Journal of Health Sciences. 8 (2025): 296-305.




Figure 1: Association between overall satisfaction at first consultation and school graduate

Duration and satisfaction with timeframe of first consultation at initial breast cancer diagnosis

The duration of the first consultation was assessed and the consultation could be rated via a categorical rating scale, ranging from very good (1) to poor (5). The first information about the diagnosis of breast cancer was most frequently provided by the gynecologist (34.8% in private practice, 16.7% in hospital), and second most frequently by the radiologist (37.5%). Others had their first consultation with an oncologist (7.5%) or their general practitioner (3.5%); 0.2% made no statement. The duration of the first consultation varied widely according between 10 minutes (23.0%), 15 minutes (20.5%), 20 minutes (19.9%), 30 minutes (16.1%) and >30 minutes (13.4%). 7.1% did not specify the duration.

The proportion of patients who reported the duration of the consultation as being "just right" increased with longer duration of the first consultation (s. Figure 2). Whilst only 12.7% of the patients felt the duration of the consultation was "just right" at 10 minutes, with 20 minutes duration 57.7% of the patients felt that this was "just right". If the conversation

lasted more than 30 minutes, 90.1% of the patients rated the duration as "just right". This difference in satisfaction was highly significant compared to 10 or 20 minutes, respectively (both $p < 0.001$).

Differences between the groups are statistically significant (10 min vs. >30 min: $\chi^2(1) = 195.48$, adjusted $p < 0.001$, Cramers $V = 0.763$; 20 Min vs. >30 Min: $\chi^2(1) = 39.41$, adjusted $p < 0.001$, Cramers $V = 0.351$). The length of consultation had a significant impact on the patients' ratings of the consultation as well ($\chi^2(1) = 101.70$, $p < 0.001$, Cramers $V = 0.332$). Patients who reported longer consultation times (≥ 30 minutes) evaluated the quality of information in these consultations more positively than patients who reported shorter consultations (see Table 2).

Table 2: Association between evaluation of the first consultation and duration of the conversation Patients who did not provide any information here are excluded in this table.

	10–20 minutes, N = 634	30 minutes or more, N = 295	p-value
Feeling of being informed with first breast cancer diagnosis			<0.001
Very good or good (1 and 2)	318 (50.6%)	250 (85.3%)	
Satisfactory, Sufficient or insufficient (3, 4 and 5)	310 (49.4%)	43 (14.7%)	

Understanding the content of the conversation

Patients who were counselled for 30 minutes or longer were significantly more likely to say that they understood more during the consultation than those who had a consultation of 10-20 minutes ($\chi^2(1) = 16.04$, $p < 0.001$, Cramers $V = 0.132$) as shown in Table 3. Notwithstanding the significant differences in the understanding, 73% of all patients stated that they were able to make a treatment decision afterwards.




Figure 2: Overview of the relation between first consultation duration and satisfaction with timeframe length.

Citation: Nina Ditsch, Melitta B. Köpke, Renate Haidinger, Brigitte Welter, Ute-Susann Albert, Christoph Aulmann, Traudl Baumgartner, Stefanie Corradini, Christian Dannecker, Johannes Ettl, Nadia Harbeck, Carolin C. Hack, Anne Herrmann, Christian Hinske, Marion Kiechle, Alkomiet Hasan, Klaus E. Jopp, Monika Klinkhammer-Schalke, Oliver Koelbl, Christoph Jung, Olaf Ortmann, Anna Rubeck, Gernot Müller, Verena Schmid, Frank Kramer, Franziska Feiler, Eva Schildmann, Stephan Seitz, Miriam Weiss, Nadja Will, Achim Wöckel, Eva Schumacher-Wulff, Hedy Kerek-Bodden, Peter Jurmeister, Carl Mathis Wild, Rachel Wuerstlein, Peter A. Fasching, Susanne Kinnebrock, Miriam Kunz, Stefan Schiele, Matthias W. Beckmann. Significant Increase of Patient Information and Satisfaction with Longer Initial Consultation Duration in Breast Cancer - First Results of The WAVES Study. Fortune Journal of Health Sciences. 8 (2025): 296-305.

Table 3: Association between duration of the first consultation and perceived informedness Patients who did not provide any information here are excluded in this table.

	Understood everything/ all essentials	Did not understand everything/ unsure	p-value
Duration of first consultation			<0.001
30 minutes or more	269 (91.8%)	24 (8.2%)	
10-20 minutes	512 (81.7%)	115 (18.3%)	

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the current care structure in breast cancer focusing on physician-patient communication. Although Physician-patient communication can be defined as the most important aspect of psychosocial care [10] and known significant associations between communication skills and quality of life [11], there has still been no implementation of these insights in everyday clinical practice. A further German survey summarized that physicians should take more time for explanations [12]. It is therefore incomprehensible that there are no PCCCC or adequate billing codes available, while, on the other hand, expensive diagnostics are paid for. All this in the knowledge that good communication could avoid over-diagnostics triggered by excessive anxiety [13]. There is a lot of data on shared decision making, but so far only limited studies [14, 15] have considered the time factor. The WAVES study was conducted to assess the current status of communication during the initial consultation for breast cancer. The results of this preplanned analysis confirm the assumption that the quality of communication (indicated by a predominantly good understanding of the content) is associated with a longer duration of the first consultation and thus with a better understanding of the disease. A long first consultation is not necessarily a synonym for a high-quality consultation [16]. Nevertheless, these data show that with longer duration of the consultation both the content was significantly better understood and overall satisfaction was significantly higher.

These results support previous data that trust – which takes time - is the basis of physician-patient communication. Therefore physicians should be aware of the impact of the time spend together with the patient, not only the content of the conversation itself. It is now recognized that patient motivation is also crucial to treatment success and adherence, therefore shared decision making is increasingly practiced [17]. Basic principles of patient-centered communication include: providing information truthfully, listening actively, showing empathy, capture the need for information, using understandable language and comprehensible information material, identifying individual stressors, problems and

needs, motivating self-determination and personal activities ("empowerment"), giving hope and offering further assistance [18, 19]. When one tries to implement these many points in everyday clinical practice, it quickly becomes clear that there should be enough time to do so. Several studies have already confirmed the importance of 'taking time' and having an empathic conversation during diagnosis notification, treatment [20] and also in the aftercare [5]. In the real world, however, the system provides for fast processing in favor of increased patient case numbers and economic efficiency. The results show that different specialists conduct the initial diagnostic consultation. According to the patients, they were most satisfied when the consultation for the first confirmed diagnosis of breast cancer was conducted by a gynecologist. This shows the importance of developing a clear process in the future to guarantee a prompt presentation at a certified breast center for those affected.

Starting from this, the WAVES study aims to evaluate the resulting needs and work towards an improved system that meets the needs of both patients and physicians. Ideally, the results will be reflected in an adjustment of reimbursement and lift physician-patient-communication up to the same level such as examinations and diagnostics. One advantage of this study is the large number of patients, which suggests that it is a representative sample of breast cancer patients in Bavaria/Germany. Furthermore, the questions were co-developed not only by physicians but also by patients and patient representatives to ensure that the questions that best reflect patients' concerns are addressed. Despite the proof of breast cancer diagnosis, a limitation of the study might be the lack of verifiability of the data, as the patients themselves entered all data. Thus, it cannot be ruled out that there may only be a subjectively perceived high understanding, especially regarding medical facts – a discrepancy that has already been shown before [21]. A bias cannot be ruled out regarding the evaluation of the questionnaire based on the current state of mind and mood. In general, this bias can be considered normal, as memories are also evaluated more positively when being in a better state of mind [22]. As the evaluation of the time shows no significant association with the current state of mind it seems that it is not an unreflected positive evaluation

Citation: Nina Ditsch, Melitta B. Köpke, Renate Haidinger, Brigitte Welter, Ute-Susann Albert, Christoph Aulmann, Traudl Baumgartner, Stefanie Corradini, Christian Dannecker, Johannes Ettl, Nadia Harbeck, Carolin C. Hack, Anne Herrmann, Christian Hinske, Marion Kiechle, Alkomiet Hasan, Klaus E. Jopp, Monika Klinkhammer-Schalke, Oliver Koelbl, Christoph Jung, Olaf Ortmann, Anna Rubeck, Gernot Müller, Verena Schmid, Frank Kramer, Franziska Feiler, Eva Schildmann, Stephan Seitz, Miriam Weiss, Nadja Will, Achim Wöckel, Eva Schumacher-Wulff, Hedy Kerek-Bodden, Peter Jurmeister, Carl Mathis Wild, Rachel Wuerstein, Peter A. Fasching, Susanne Kinnebrock, Miriam Kunz, Stefan Schiele, Matthias W. Beckmann. Significant Increase of Patient Information and Satisfaction with Longer Initial Consultation Duration in Breast Cancer - First Results of The WAVES Study. Fortune Journal of Health Sciences. 8 (2025): 296-305.

of the interview just because of a good mood. Follow-up studies should test the effect of specific personal and digital communication strategies on patient outcomes, to counter potential negative effects of information provision, especially in uncertain situations. Ultimately, specific communication strategies could be harnessed in clinical care to improve patient outcomes [23, 24]. Further evaluations of the WAVES study are being planned.

Conclusions

First results from the WAVES study show significantly higher patient satisfaction with physician-patient communication at the initial disclosure of breast cancer diagnosis when the physician takes time for it (≥ 30 min). This was also applied to a substantially higher understanding of the content. The latter seems to be particularly important for patients with High School diploma. As this need for sufficient patient-physician-time is yet not reflected in the current reimbursement structures, these data provide the basis for a potential restructuring of the treatment and care of breast cancer patients.

Funding

This project has received 300.000 Euro funding from the Bavarian Cancer Research Center (BZKF) between 2021 – 2024 (grant number: SG/MAM/24/Basis). A.H.: work on this manuscript was supported by the German Center of Mental Health (grant number: 01EE2303C).

Other

Competing Interests

No conflicts of interests regarding this study from any author.

Author Contributions

All authors contributed to the study conceptualization. Material preparation, data collection and formal analysis were performed by Nina Ditsch, Melitta B. Köpke and Stefan Schiele. The original draft of the manuscript was written by Nina Ditsch and Melitta B. Köpke. All authors participated in the Review and Editing of the Draft and approved the final manuscript.

Participating certified breast centres and patient organisations

* Bavarian University Hospitals:

Augsburg, Erlangen, TUM and LMU Munich, Regensburg, Würzburg

** further certified Breast centres:

Rotkreuz Hospital Munich (Michael Braun, Anne Androlat),

Hospital Dritter Orden (Isabelle Himsl, Franz von Koch), Hospital Fürstenfeldbruck (Moritz Schwörer, Constanze Türpe)

***Patient organisations and advocates:

mamazone e.V., Brustkrebs Deutschland e.V., BRCA-Netzwerk e.V., Mamma MIA – Die Krebsmagazine, Frauenselbsthilfe nach Krebs e.V., th!nk pink club e.V., Netzwerk Männer mit Brustkrebs e.V., Nicole Kultau (online blog), Allianz gegen Brustkrebs e.V.

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, et al. "Global cancer statistics 2020: Globocan estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries." *CA Cancer J Clin* 71 (2021): 209-49.
2. Engler T, Fasching PA, Luftner D, Hartkopf AD, Müller V, et al. "Implementation of cdk4/6 inhibitors and its influence on the treatment landscape of advanced breast cancer patients - data from the real-world registry praegnant." *Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd* 82 (2022): 1055-67.
3. Mugele K. "Die german cancer survivors week 2023." *Forum* 38 (2023): 174-75.
4. Muhlbauer V, Berger-Hoger B, Albrecht M, Muhlhauser I and Steckelberg A. "Communicating prognosis to women with early breast cancer - overview of prediction tools and the development and pilot testing of a decision aid." *BMC Health Serv Res* 19 (2019): 171.
5. Clayton MF and Dudley WN. "Patient-centered communication during oncology follow-up visits for breast cancer survivors: Content and temporal structure." *Oncol Nurs Forum* 36 (2009): E68-79.
6. Institut für Qualität und Wirtschaftlichkeit im Gesundheitswesen (IQWiG). "Führt eine gemeinsame entscheidungsfindung von ärztin und patient zu besseren behandlungsergebnissen?" (2023).
7. Littell RD, Kumar A, Einstein MH, Karam A and Bevis K. "Advanced communication: A critical component of high-quality gynecologic evidence-based care: A society of gynecologic oncology evidence-based review and guide." *Gynecol Oncol* 155 (2019): 161-69.
8. Curtis JR, AL Back, DW Ford, L Downey, SE Shannon, AZ Doorenbos, et al. "Effect of communication skills training for residents and nurse practitioners on quality of communication with patients with serious illness: A randomized trial." *JAMA* 310 (2013): 2271-81.

Citation: Nina Ditsch, Melitta B. Köpke, Renate Haidinger, Brigitte Welter, Ute-Susann Albert, Christoph Aulmann, Traudl Baumgartner, Stefanie Corradini, Christian Dannecker, Johannes Ettl, Nadia Harbeck, Carolin C. Hack, Anne Herrmann, Christian Hinske, Marion Kiechle, Alkomiet Hasan, Klaus E. Jopp, Monika Klinkhammer-Schalke, Oliver Koelbl, Christoph Jung, Olaf Ortmann, Anna Rubeck, Gernot Müller, Verena Schmid, Frank Kramer, Franziska Feiler, Eva Schildmann, Stephan Seitz, Miriam Weiss, Nadja Will, Achim Wöckel, Eva Schumacher-Wulff, Hedy Kerek-Bodden, Peter Jurmeister, Carl Mathis Wild, Rachel Wuerstlein, Peter A. Fasching, Susanne Kinnebrock, Miriam Kunz, Stefan Schiele, Matthias W. Beckmann. Significant Increase of Patient Information and Satisfaction with Longer Initial Consultation Duration in Breast Cancer - First Results of The WAVES Study. *Fortune Journal of Health Sciences*. 8 (2025): 296-305.

9. Hawley ST, K Kidwell, D Zahrieh, A McCarthy, R Wills, et al. "Improving patient-centered communication in breast cancer: A study protocol for a multilevel intervention of a shared treatment deliberation system (shares) within the nci community oncology research program (ncorp) (alliance a231901cd) " *Trials* Jan 6 (2023): 24.
10. Rankin NS, Newell R, Sanson-Fisher and Girgis A. "Consumer participation in the development of psychosocial clinical practice guidelines: Opinions of women with breast cancer." *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)* 9 (2000): 97-104.
11. Engel JJ, Kerr A, Schlesinger-Raab R, Eckel H, Sauer and Holzel D. "Predictors of quality of life of breast cancer patients." *Acta Oncol* 42 (2003): 710-8.
12. Oskay-Ozelik G, Lehmacher W, Konsgen D, Christ H, Kaufmann M, et al. "Breast cancer patients' expectations in respect of the physician-patient relationship and treatment management results of a survey of 617 patients." *Ann Oncol* 18 (2007): 479-84.
13. Greenup RA. "Financial toxicity and shared decision making in oncology." *Surg Oncol Clin N Am* 31 (2022): 1-7.
14. Herrmann A, Sanson-Fisher R and Hall A. "Not having adequate time to make a treatment decision can impact on cancer patients' care experience: Results of a cross-sectional study." *Patient Educ Couns* 102 (2019): 1957-60.
15. Herrmann A, Holler E, Edinger M, Eickmann S and Wolff D. "A qualitative study on patients' and their support persons' preferences for receiving one longer consultation or two shorter consultations when being informed about allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation." *BMC Health Serv Res* 21 (2021): 623.
16. Arraras JJ, Giesinger J, Shamieh O, Bahar I, Koller M, A et al. "Cancer patient satisfaction with health care professional communication: An international eortc study." *Psychooncology* 31 (2022): 541-47.
17. Legare FD, Stacey S, Pouliot FP, Gauvin S, Desroches J, et al. "Interprofessionalism and shared decision-making in primary care: A stepwise approach towards a new model." *J Interprof Care* 25 (2011): 18-25.
18. King A, and Hoppe RB. ""Best practice" for patient-centered communication: A narrative review." *J Grad Med Educ* 5 (2013): 385-93.
19. Leitlinienprogramm Onkologie (Deutsche Krebsgesellschaft, D. K, AWMF). "Psychoonkologische diagnostik, beratung und behandlung von erwachsenen krebspatient*innen, langversion 2.1." *AWMF-Registernummer: 032-051OL* (2023): AWMF-Registernummer: 032-051OL.
20. Krok-Schoen JL, Fernandez K, Unzeitig GW, Rubio G, Paskett ED, et al. "Hispanic breast cancer patients' symptom experience and patient-physician communication during chemotherapy." *Support Care Cancer* 27 (2019): 697-704.
21. Christine B, Daniel W, Florian L, Johannes H, Nina H, et al. "Uninformed consent" in clinical trials with cancer patients: A qualitative analysis of patients' and support persons' communication experiences and needs." *Patient Educ Couns* 122 (2024): 108144.
22. Madan CR, Scott SME and Kensinger EA. "Positive emotion enhances association-memory." *Emotion* 19 (2019): 733-40.
23. van Vliet LM, Francke AL, Meijers MC, Westendorp J, Hoffstadt H, Evers AWM, et al. "The use of expectancy and empathy when communicating with patients with advanced breast cancer; an observational study of clinician-patient consultations." *Front Psychiatry* 10 (2019): 464.
24. Evers AW, Colloca ML, Blease C, Annoni M, Atlas LY, et al. "Implications of placebo and nocebo effects for clinical practice: Expert consensus." *Psychother Psychosom* 87 (2018): 204-210.



This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC-BY\) license 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Citation: Nina Ditsch, Melitta B. Köpke, Renate Haidinger, Brigitte Welter, Ute-Susann Albert, Christoph Aulmann, Traudl Baumgartner, Stefanie Corradini, Christian Dannecker, Johannes Ettl, Nadia Harbeck, Carolin C. Hack, Anne Herrmann, Christian Hinske, Marion Kiechle, Alkomiet Hasan, Klaus E. Jopp, Monika Klinkhammer-Schalke, Oliver Koelbl, Christoph Jung, Olaf Ortmann, Anna Rubeck, Gernot Müller, Verena Schmid, Frank Kramer, Franziska Feiler, Eva Schildmann, Stephan Seitz, Miriam Weiss, Nadja Will, Achim Wöckel, Eva Schumacher-Wulff, Hedy Kerek-Bodden, Peter Jurmeister, Carl Mathis Wild, Rachel Wuerstein, Peter A. Fasching, Susanne Kinnebrock, Miriam Kunz, Stefan Schiele, Matthias W. Beckmann. Significant Increase of Patient Information and Satisfaction with Longer Initial Consultation Duration in Breast Cancer - First Results of The WAVES Study. *Fortune Journal of Health Sciences*. 8 (2025): 296-305.

**Appendix Table 1 –
Overview of the tumor characteristics**

Data in absolute and relative numbers. Since 20 patients had bilateral disease, a total of 1020 tumors are listed.

Tumor size		
cT1a (<0.5cm)	37	3.60%
cT1b (>0.5-1cm)	153	15.00%
cT1c (>1-2cm)	327	32.10%
cT2 (>2-5cm)	269	26.40%
cT3 (>5cm)	68	6.70%
cT4	20	2.00%
I don't know	88	8.60%
Not specified	58	5.70%
Histopathological type		
'in situ'	33	3.20%
Other typ	46	4.50%
Ductal carcinoma in situ	57	5.60%
invasive ductal with in situ component	70	6.90%
Invasive lobular	132	12.90%
Invasive mucinous	9	0.90%
Invasive tubular	5	0.50%
medullar	5	0.50%
NST (Non special type)	438	42.90%
Not specified	225	22.10%
Estrogene receptor status (ER)		
Negative	149	14.60%
Positive	626	61.40%
Not specified	245	24.00%
Progesterone Receptor status (PR)		
negative	221	21.70%
positive	511	50.10%
Not specified	288	28.20%
HER2		
negative	561	55.00%
positive	214	21.00%
Not specified	245	24.00%
Grading		
G1	112	11.00%
G2	457	44.80%
G3	247	24.20%
GX	3	0.30%
Not specified	201	19.70%
Lymph node involvement		
Yes	186	18.20%
no	758	74.30%
Not specified	76	7.50%

Citation: Nina Ditsch, Melitta B. Köpke, Renate Haidinger, Brigitte Welter, Ute-Susann Albert, Christoph Aulmann, Traudl Baumgartner, Stefanie Corradini, Christian Dannecker, Johannes Ettl, Nadia Harbeck, Carolin C. Hack, Anne Herrmann, Christian Hinske, Marion Kiechle, Alkomiet Hasan, Klaus E. Jopp, Monika Klinkhammer-Schalke, Oliver Koelbl, Christoph Jung, Olaf Ortmann, Anna Rubeck, Gernot Müller, Verena Schmid, Frank Kramer, Franziska Feiler, Eva Schildmann, Stephan Seitz, Miriam Weiss, Nadja Will, Achim Wöckel, Eva Schumacher-Wulff, Hedy Kerek-Bodden, Peter Jurmeister, Carl Mathis Wild, Rachel Wuerstlein, Peter A. Fasching, Susanne Kinnebrock, Miriam Kunz, Stefan Schiele, Matthias W. Beckmann. Significant Increase of Patient Information and Satisfaction with Longer Initial Consultation Duration in Breast Cancer - First Results of The WAVES Study. Fortune Journal of Health Sciences. 8 (2025): 296-305.

Appendix 2 - Excerpt from the Questionnaire

The questions included in the analysis from the first part of the patient questionnaire are listed here. As the survey was conducted in German, the questions listed have been translated into English.

Age	
Gender	<input type="radio"/> male <input type="radio"/> female <input type="radio"/> diverse
Current condition	<input type="radio"/> very good <input type="radio"/> good <input type="radio"/> satisfactory <input type="radio"/> sufficient <input type="radio"/> poor
Marital status	<input type="radio"/> single <input type="radio"/> married <input type="radio"/> Partnership, but not married <input type="radio"/> Widowed <input type="radio"/> Divorced
School-leaving certificate	<input type="radio"/> A-levels <input type="radio"/> Secondary school <input type="radio"/> leaving certificate <input type="radio"/> No school leaving certificate
What was your first reaction to the findings?	<input type="checkbox"/> I was shocked <input type="checkbox"/> I felt helpless <input type="checkbox"/> I had suspected/ feared it <input type="checkbox"/> I was afraid <input type="checkbox"/> I was angry <input type="checkbox"/> I felt overwhelmed and left alone <input type="checkbox"/> I can do it, no matter what <input type="checkbox"/> I was not receptive <input type="checkbox"/> Other predominant feeling <input type="checkbox"/> not specified
What were your most important questions about the therapy and breast/breasts? their impact on your life situation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Will I lose my <input type="checkbox"/> Will I still see myself as a woman? <input type="checkbox"/> Will I lose my hair? <input type="checkbox"/> What about my desire to have children? <input type="checkbox"/> What will happen to my child/children now? <input type="checkbox"/> How will my partner deal with the situation? <input type="checkbox"/> Will my partner leave me? <input type="checkbox"/> Do I have to die now? <input type="checkbox"/> What about my work? <input type="checkbox"/> Will the disease be passed on to my children? <input type="checkbox"/> Open answer <input type="checkbox"/> Not specified

Citation: Nina Ditsch, Melitta B. Köpke, Renate Haidinger, Brigitte Welter, Ute-Susann Albert, Christoph Aulmann, Traudl Baumgartner, Stefanie Corradini, Christian Dannecker, Johannes Ettl, Nadia Harbeck, Carolin C. Hack, Anne Herrmann, Christian Hinske, Marion Kiechle, Alkomiet Hasan, Klaus E. Jopp, Monika Klinkhammer-Schalke, Oliver Koelbl, Christoph Jung, Olaf Ortmann, Anna Rubeck, Gernot Müller, Verena Schmid, Frank Kramer, Franziska Feiler, Eva Schildmann, Stephan Seitz, Miriam Weiss, Nadja Will, Achim Wöckel, Eva Schumacher-Wulff, Hedy Kerek-Bodden, Peter Jurmeister, Carl Mathis Wild, Rachel Wuerstlein, Peter A. Fasching, Susanne Kinnebrock, Miriam Kunz, Stefan Schiele, Matthias W. Beckmann. Significant Increase of Patient Information and Satisfaction with Longer Initial Consultation Duration in Breast Cancer - First Results of The WAVES Study. Fortune Journal of Health Sciences. 8 (2025): 296-305.

How well informed were you in general
felt at the initial diagnosis?

- ☐ very well
☐ Good
☐ satisfactory
☐ sufficient
☐ inadequate
☐ not specified

How long did the initial interview take approximately?

- ☐ 10 min
☐ 15 min
☐ 20 min
☐ 30 min
☐ more than 30 min
☐ no information

How do you rate the time taken for the
time was rather limited, but I was able to clarify all my questions?

- ☐ just right
☐ I would have liked a little more time, but all
questions were answered
☐ I would have liked a little more time, not all
questions were clarified
☐ I would have liked a lot more time No
☐ details

Was the attending physician able to
comprehensible manner?

- ☐ explain the findings to you in a
☐ yes, I understood the essentials
☐ no, I could only partially understand the findings no,
☐ I didn't understand anything
☐ I was unsure whether I had understood everything
☐ no information

How did you find the communication within the
Initial diagnosis generally

- ☐ very positive
perceived?
☐ posi
☐ tive
☐ neutral
☐ negativ
e
☐ very
negative no
information

Who was the first person to
your breast
diagnosis?

- ☐ tell you about
☐ cancer
☐ Radiologist
☐ Gynecologist in private practice
☐ Gynecologist in a clinic Oncologist in
☐ private practice Oncologist in a
☐ clinic

Did you already have a
Metastasis present?

- ☐ yes
☐ no
☐ not specified

Citation: Nina Ditsch, Melitta B. Köpke, Renate Haidinger, Brigitte Welter, Ute-Susann Albert, Christoph Aulmann, Traudl Baumgartner, Stefanie Corradini, Christian Dannecker, Johannes Ettl, Nadia Harbeck, Carolin C. Hack, Anne Herrmann, Christian Hinske, Marion Kiechle, Alkomiet Hasan, Klaus E. Jopp, Monika Klinkhammer-Schalke, Oliver Koelbl, Christoph Jung, Olaf Ortmann, Anna Rubeck, Gernot Müller, Verena Schmid, Frank Kramer, Franziska Feiler, Eva Schildmann, Stephan Seitz, Miriam Weiss, Nadja Will, Achim Wöckel, Eva Schumacher-Wulff, Hedy Kerek-Bodden, Peter Jurmeister, Carl Mathis Wild, Rachel Wuerstein, Peter A. Fasching, Susanne Kinnebrock, Miriam Kunz, Stefan Schiele, Matthias W. Beckmann. Significant Increase of Patient Information and Satisfaction with Longer Initial Consultation Duration in Breast Cancer - First Results of The WAVES Study. Fortune Journal of Health Sciences. 8 (2025): 296-305.