

#### **Research Article**

## DENTAL RESEARCH AND ORAL HEALTH

ISSN: 2641-7413



# Paediatric Traumatic Brain Injury: The Evolving Role of Blood and Salivary Biomarkers

Livia Barenghi1\*, Alberto Barenghi1, Matteo Vidali2

#### **Abstract**

Traumatic brain injury is an important priority in intensive care, particularly in paediatrics. Many brain biomarkers, particularly serum glial fibrillary acidic protein, S100 calcium-binding protein B and ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase L1, have been proposed to improve sensitivity and specificity of diagnosis and management. This is particularly important for identifying clinically significant mild traumatic brain injury in paediatric patients, as it could potentially reduce unnecessary hospitalisations and neuroimaging scans. This manuscript focuses on recent clinical guidelines and research on clinical chemistry tests for various biological fluids, particularly saliva. The text discusses biomarkers in adults and children, highlighting their application in blood and saliva, focused on studies published between January 2021 and June 2025. Firstly, we report on the characteristics of brain biomarkers and the relevance of serum biomarkers of mild traumatic brain injury in paediatric population, as well as the its epidemiology in paediatric and adult populations. Then, we focuses on six important areas: a) Diagnostic guidelines and the rationale for biomarkers: a) Neuroanatomical and functional vulnerabilities in paediatric traumatic brain injury; b) Molecular mechanisms of injury and inflammation in paediatric traumatic brain injury; c) Saliva as an emerging matrix for traumatic brain injury biomarkers; e) Analytical, biological and clinical challenges in biomarker use; f) Experimental biomarkers: exosomes and non coding RNAs.

Research and their potential clinical applications is promising. However, many challenges remain in controlling for biological variability and potential pre- and analytical confounding factors in order to obtain reference values and cut-offs, particularly for salivary biomarkers, and to implement them in paediatric clinical practice.

**Keywords:** Biomarker; GFAP; S100; UCHL-1; Saliva; Pediatrics; Traumatic brain injury (TBI); Guideline; Exosomes; Reference values; Cut-offs

### **Abbreviations**

AAMR: Age-adjusted mortality rates

Alb: albumin

AD: Alzheimer's Disease

aTBI: adult TBI

APOE: Apolipoprotein E

AQP: Aquaporin

#### Affiliation:

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biomedical, Surgical and Dental Sciences, University of Milan, Via della Commenda 10, 20122, Milan, Italy.

<sup>2</sup>Foundation IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Clinical Pathology Unit, Via Francesco Sforza 28. 20122 Milan, Italy.

#### \*Corresponding author:

Livia Barenghi, PhD, Affiliation of author: Department of Biomedical, Surgical and Dental Sciences, University of Milan, Via della Commenda 10.

Email: livia.barenghi@unimi.it

Citation: Livia Barenghi, Alberto Barenghi, Matteo Vidali. Paediatric Traumatic Brain Injury: The Evolving Role of Blood and Salivary Biomarkers. Dental Research and Oral Health. 8 (2025): 109-132.

Received: September 07, 2025 Accepted: September 16, 2025 Published: November 18, 2025



BBB; Blood-Brain Barrier

BDNF: Brain-derived neurotrophic factor

CBF: Cerebral Blood Flow

CDSS: Clinical Decision Support System

CE-IVD: in vitro diagnostic CE marked products

CGRP:calcitonin gene-related peptide

circRNA: Circular RNA

GCF: gingival crevicular fluid

CLIA: Chemiluminescence Immunoassay

CMIA: Chemiluminescent Microparticle Immunoassay

CN: Cranial Nerve

CNI: Cranial Nerve Injury

CRP: C-reactive protein

CRP/Abl: C-reactive protein to albumin ratio

CT: Computed Tomography

CV: Coefficient of Variation

ECLIA: Electrochemiluminescence Immunoassay

ED: Emergency Department

ELISA: Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay

EIA: Enzyme Immunoassay EV: extracellular vesicles

Hb: Hemoglobin

HPA: hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal

FDA: Food and Drug Administration, USA

FGFR: Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor

GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale

GFAP: Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein

LDH: lactate dehydrogenase

IncRNA: Long non-coding RNA

miRNA: microRNA

MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging mTBI: mild Traumatic Brain Injury

mTBIS: mild Traumatic Brain Injury Symptoms

MVBs: multivesicular bodies

MW: Molecular Weight ncRNA: non coding RNA

NI: neuroimaging

NSE: Neuron-specific enolase

NT-proBNP: N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide

PACAP-38: pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating

polypeptide-38

PCS: post-concussion syndrome

PICO: Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome

PPCS: Persistent Post-concussive Symptoms

PTT: partial thromboplastin time

RAGE: Receptor for Advanced Glycation Endproducts

sAA: salivary α-Amylase

SG: salivary gland

S100B:S100 calcium-binding protein B

SP: substance P

SS. Sjögren's Syndrome

TBI: Traumatic Brain Injury

TJ: Tight Junction

PPCS: Persistent Post-concussive Symptoms

pH: hydrogen ion concentration index

pTBI: paediatric Traumatic Brain Injury

UCH-L1:Ubiquitin c-terminal Hydrolase L1

uSFR: unstimulated salivary flow rate

VIP: vasoactive intestinal peptide

WHO: World Health Organization

YLL: Years of Life Lost

#### Introduction

In Italy, current national guidelines lack specific recommendations on good laboratory, clinical, and healthcare practices for the diagnosis and monitoring of traumatic brain injury (TBI), particularly with regard to the use of biological biomarkers [1]. This research is based on a critical synthesis of recent systematic reviews [2-16], original studies [17-30], and commentaries [31,32], as well as the latest guidelines [33-38] on TBI diagnosis and prognosis in the paediatric and adult populations, with a particular focus on mild TBI (mTBI), concussion [35], and the use of diagnostic biomarkers [3,4,6,10,11,15,21,23,25-30].TBI represents an important priority in intensive care due to the associated health problems and economic costs (estimated at \$400 billion annually in the USA). It is projected to become a leading cause of death and disability by 2030 [2,39], primarily resulting from acute trauma such as road accidents, falls, sports-related injuries, and violence [35]. In children, over half (55.5%) of TBI cases are related to sports or recreational activities, and 62.4% of these patients receive medical evaluation, underscoring the need for rapid and accessible diagnostic strategies in paediatric settings [40]. Globally, the incidence rate of moderate-to-severe TBI was 182.7 per 100,000 population in 2019, accounting for over half of all TBI cases, with falls and road traffic accidents as leading causes. The Italian agestandardized incidence was notably higher, at 377 (95% UI: 319-451) per 100.000 [41]. In comparison, recent data from the United States indicate that 3.0% of the population reported a TBI in the past year, including 2.2% of children aged <17 years (40). Epidemiological data from Huang et al. highlight age- and sex-related differences in TBI patterns, potentially relevant to diagnostic approaches [41]. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) report that children aged 0-17 years account for approximately 4.1% of all TBIrelated deaths [35]. This is particularly relevant given that paediatric TBI (pTBI) is the leading cause of death in this age group [42]. Furthermore, pTBI has been shown to impact brain development and cognitive abilities [35,43,44].

To date, neither a single objective laboratory test [31,45] nor harmonised neuroimaging (NI) protocols [46,47] are considered a gold standard for TBI management [48]. In fact, inconsistent findings have been reported when different biomarkers are associated with specific imaging phenotypes including diffuse axonal injury, cerebral oedema, and intracranial hemorrhage. These findings suggest a low level of diagnostic specificity and may reflect the complex and heterogeneous nature of TBI, underestimated biological variability and pre-analytical and analytical issues [6,9-11,24,26-30]. Diagnosing and predicting the outcome of pTBI remains particularly challenging, especially in cases of mild TBI (mTBI) and post-concussion syndrome (PCS) [49,50]. PCS is characterized by the persistence of symptoms following mTBI [51,52]. A recent review explored the diagnostic challenges of PCS and proposed strategies to improve both research design and clinical practice, including the use of salivary biomarkers [15]. In addition, several studies and reviews have investigated brain function biomarkers in both paediatric and adult populations [3,4,6,10,11,15,17,21,23,25-30,45,46,49,51,52]. Recent guidelines highlight the potential of TBI biomarkers to limit NI, particularly in the diagnostic workup of pTBI using serum and saliva samples [5,12,15,17-22,25,28,30,31,36-38,53,54]. In a recent multicentre observational study, 84.6% of children presented with mild neurotrauma, while 14.2% and 1.2% displayed moderate and severe injuries, respectively [55]. Overall, the literature suggests that the diagnostic performances of biomarkers for TBI, particularly in terms of sensitivity and specificity, varies according to the type of biological fluid used (plasma, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) or saliva) and across populations including adults, pediatric patients and athletes [3,12, 56-70].

Many biomarkers have been proposed for evaluating TBI. These are categorized based on their characteristics as

follows: brain cell proteins (mainly S100 calcium-binding protein B (S100B), glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and ubiquitin c-terminal hydrolase L1(UCH-L1)); stress marker (cortisol) [17]; nerve proteins (lectin-binding glycan molecules) [23]; enzymes (α-amylase, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) [20,71]; messengers within the immune system (cytokines); cellular integrity proteins (protein tau and amyloid β) [72]; neuropeptides (plasma calcitonin generelated peptide (CGRP), pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide-38 (PACAP-38), vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP), and substance P (SP)); natriuretic peptide (N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP); acute phase proteins (C-reactive protein to albumin ratio (CRP/Alb) [71]; transport proteins (hemoglobin (Hb) and serum albumin (Alb); blood clotting (PTT test) [73,74]; functional and noncoding (nc) RNA (i.e. miRNAs, circRNAs, lncRNAs)); nanosized, membrane-bound particles that act as messengers in cell-to-cell communication (extracellular vesicles (EV)) [5,15,22,25]; lipid and lipid transport (apoE genotype) [75,76]; epigenetic mechanism (BDNF gene expression) [77]; and acid/base balance (pH index) [73]. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) is the biofluid that most accurately reflects brain functions [70], but its use is discouraged due to several limitations, including the difficulty, pain and safety concerns related to sample collection, the complexity of CSF biomarker interpretation in paediatric neurological disorders, challenges in laboratory standardization, and the limited feasibility of CSF use in large-scale or emergency settings [11,78]. As a result, blood-derived biofluids and saliva are considered more suitable matrices for paediatric biomarker analysis. The presence of brain-derived proteins in peripheral fluids (blood, saliva, urine) relies on their ability to cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and vascular endothelium, particularly when injured [79,80]. These proteins can subsequently be detected in gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) and saliva, through both exocrine as well as non-exocrine pathways [81]. The high vascularisation of salivary glands enables the transfer of blood-derived components, including brain proteins, into saliva, an exchange largely influenced by the molecular size of these proteins [79].

Saliva is expected to play a key role in the future diagnosis of pTBI, giving several operational advantages, including easy collection, transport, and storage, and is suitable for use in emergency settings and point-of-care testing [11,12,82]. Saliva testing is non-invasive and well accepted in pediatric population, particularly when repeated sampling is needed. Several devices are available for whole saliva collection, including those specifically designed for infants. More recently, wireless biosensors for analytes like glucose and electrolytes have been integrated into saliva collection devices (e.g. glucose-tracking wireless pacifiers) [83,84]. The diagnostic potential of using saliva is partly explained by the close physiological relationship between saliva



production and central nervous system regulation [81]. The production and composition of saliva are subject to constant and variable influences by peripheral sensory inputs and circadian rhythms. The fine regulation of secretion depends on both the parasympathetic and orthosympathetic systems. Finally, a growing body of evidences supports the idea that neurodevelopmental disorders may be linked to the oralbrain axis, partly through mechanisms mediated by the oral microbiome [81,85,86]. Consistently, proteomic data indicate that proteins associated with neurodegenerative disorders are equally abundant in saliva (n°38; 2.5%) and plasma (n°37; 2.6%) (87). However, S100B, GFAP and UCH-L1, three well established TBI biomarkers, were absent from the top 1,000 blood-derived salivary proteins identified using the manifold ranking method [88,89], suggesting limited detectability in saliva.

Our work first outlines the key features of brain biomarkers and the clinical relevance of serum biomarkers in pediatric mild TBI (pmTBI), as well as the epidemiology of this condition in adult and paediatric populations. Then, we explore six key thematic areas:

- a) Diagnostic guidelines and the rationale for biomarkers
- b) Neuroanatomical and functional vulnerabilities in pTBI
- c) Molecular mechanisms of injury and inflammation in pTBI
- d) Saliva as an emerging matrix for TBI biomarkers
- e) Analytical, biological and clinical challenges in biomarker use
- f) Experimental biomarkers: exosomes and nc RNAs

This work aims to support the planning of well-designed clinical trials to validate current biomarker-based best practices in the paediatric population. Establishing reliable, evidence-based reference ranges and diagnostic cut-off values could reduce the reliance on NI in cases of pmTBI[15,31].

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Focused Question**

What is the diagnostic value of serum and salivary biomarkers in paediatric patients with mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI)?

### **Search Strategy**

Given the novelty and evolving nature of the topic, we adopted a narrative review approach, with a particular focus on the translational potential of salivary biomarkers. Although narrative synthesis is less common in this domain, we considered it more appropriate than conducting an additional systematic review, given the number of recent high quality systematic and umbrella reviews already published [3-7,10-16,36,43-46,48,52,52,57-59,61,63-65,66,68,87].

Nevertheless, to ensure methodological rigor, we structured the research according to the PICO model (Table 1) (Population, Intervention, Comparison, and Outcome) and conducted a literature search of the PubMed (MEDLINE) and Scopus databases, based on the following three aspects: population, concept, and context.

The following keywords and MeSH terms were used in various combinations with Boolean operators (OR, AND): "traumatic brain injury", "neuroimaging", "neurodegenerative diseases", "children", "adolescent", "adult", "patient safety", "disease prediction", "biomarkers", "astrocyte injury", "neuronal cell injury", "salivary gland tumors", "GFAP", "S100B", "UCH-L1", biological functions, "serum", "saliva", "blood", "brain derived extracellular vesicles", "blood biomarkers", "saliva biomarkers", " laboratory parameters", "diagnostic indicators", sensitivity", "specificity", "diagnostic accuracy". "reference values", "salivary gland", "salivary flow", salivary flux", "preanalytical phase", "molecular weight", "half-life/kinetics, "isoelectric point", "salivary gland filtration", "blood-brain barrier", "systematic and narrative review", "sport", "brain anatomy".

Approximately the point of insertion of the table 1.

#### **Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

The following inclusion criteria guided our analysis:(I) English language; (II) full text available; (III) published on-line firsts between January 2021 and June 2025; (IV) studies conducted in high- and middle-income countries (to limit salivary variability due to malnutrition); (V) studies on COVID-19 patients (when relevant to salivary biormarker analysis). References were excluded for: (I) absence of a described methodology; (II) duplications; (III) irrelevant scope; (IV) content redundancy; (V) studies without freely accessible full text; (VI) abstract-only publications; (VII) Outdated reviews when updated or more recent versions are available.

#### Research

This paper represents an original translational research, focusing on the evaluation of TBI biomarkers (particularly GFAP, S100B and UCH-L1) among different biological fluids (serum, plasma, saliva). The literature search was conducted via PubMed (MEDLINE), Scopus, and Google Scholar for studies published between January 2021 to June 2025. The final search was conducted on June 30, 2025, and data extraction spanned approximately six weeks. Subsequently, bibliographic material from the papers has been used in order to find other or older appropriate sources in relation to specific topics and operative problems. Cochrane sources (reviews, protocols, clinical answers) were reviewed to identify pharmacological interventions in TBI management. Two independent, reviewers (L.B. and A.B.) screened titles and



abstracts in a blinded fashion. Discrepancies were resolved by discussion or, if needed, by consultation with a third reviewer (M.V.). A total of 354 articles were initially identified. After applying inclusion and exclusion criteria, the final number on included references was 202. The heterogeneity of study designs and outcome measures prevented quantitative meta-analysis, especially for salivary biomarkers and pre-analytical variables.

#### **Results and Discussion**

TBI represents a major cause of disability and mortality in the paediatric population, with clinical presentation, injury mechanisms, and outcomes differing substantially from those observed in adults [51]. While neurological examination and neuroimaging (primarily head CT) remain the cornerstone of diagnosis, their limited specificity [90], particularly in cases of mTBI [91], often leads to overuse of imaging procedures with associated risks, especially in children. This scenario has prompted increasing interest in the use of circulating brainderived biomarkers to improve risk stratification and guide decision-making [30,35-38,92].

Biomarkers released into blood or other bodily fluids, following brain cell injury, may offer additional diagnostic and prognostic value. However, their integration with clinical and radiological data remains an evolving field [4,39,54,61-66,71,73,78,93]. Table 2 summarizes the key characteristics of the most studied brain biomarkers in TBI, including their biological properties and limitations [4,16,30,57,62,65,79,94-105]. Recently, some reviews and papers have been published, reporting interesting findings on biomarker application from relevant paediatric case studies [3,6,9-11,24,26-30,71,78,106-111] (Table 3) [6,9-11,24,26-30,71,110-111]. Additional epidemiological and comparative data between adult and pediatric TBI are provided in Supplementary Material S1-S2.

## Diagnostic Guidelines and the Rationale for Biomarkers

Recent international guidelines have refined the diagnostic criteria for mTBI, placing increasing emphasis on clinical evaluation and selective use of NI [2,30,32,33,35,37-39]. The World Health Organization (WHO) defines mTBI by the presence of transient neurological dysfunction and a

Table 1:Summary of the PICO framework applied.

| Population            | Children, adolescents, pediatric patients, adults with TBI                                   |
|-----------------------|--|
| Intervention/exposure | Role and limitations of serum and salivary biomarkers (particularly, GFAP, S100B and UCH-L1) |
| Comparison/control    | Use of NI or reference to normal brain function  |
| Outcomes              | Role and limitations of biomarkers in biological fluids to reduce NI use                     |

Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score between 13 and 15 at least 30 minutes after trauma. Conditions such as intoxication, preexisting neurological disorders, or co-morbid injuries must be excluded [50,112]. The CDC recommends combining clinical signs and risk factors [35] to determine the necessity of NI, though it acknowledges the limitations of CT scans: up to 95% of children with suspected mTBI undergoing CT have no detectable intracranial injury [39]. Importantly, CT does not exclude the presence of structural brain injury, as demonstrated by MRI findings in up to 30% of children with normal CT results. Moreover, 20-40% of patients with normal CT scan may experience long-lasting post-concussive symptoms [113]. In addition, CT is often poorly accepted in paediatric populations due to the need for sedation, radiation exposure, limited accessibility in peripheral hospitals, and associated healthcare costs. The recently modified Brain Injury Guidelines (mBIG) [114,115], while reaffirming the relevance of CT scan in pediatric TBI, advise against routine repeat imaging in low-risk patients (mBIG 1 and 2), a recommendation supported by recent studies [90,116]. In parallel, recent evidence highlights the clinical value of measuring plasma levels of GFAP and UCH-L1 in the early management of both adult and paediatricmTBI (pmTBI). Early sampling, within 3 to 12 hours post-injury, has been associated with increased diagnostic power. Combining GFAP and UCH-L1 has been shown to improve sensitivity in detecting clinically significant TBI in children aged 16 years or younger [30]. Moreover, biomarker-based risk stratification models, including the use of S100B as a screening tool, have been proposed to reduce unnecessary CT scans and adopted by the Scandinavian guidelines; while French guidelines adopted the use of GFAP and UCH-L1 [14,36,37,96,117,118] (Table 4) [36,37,96].

The development of biomarker-based Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) represents a significant advancement. Some models propose scoring systems based on panels including S100B, GFAP, UCH-L1, NfL, and tau, stratifying patients into low, moderate, and high risk categories. While promising, these models require validation in children under five due to physiological differences in biomarker expression. Summary of the main recommendations are provided in table 4. Other recommendations are provided from evidences by meta-analysis and modeling [14,117,118], and further technical details are included in table 3 and Supplementary Material S3. The integration of biomarkers into TBI classification systems remains challenging. Reference ranges must account for age, sex, and developmental stage, and must correlate with advanced NI and clinical outcomes [9,24,28,30,94,107-109]. Studies on mTBI in animal models will enhance translational research and deepen our understanding of neurodevelopmental changes in humans (Supplementary Material S4).

Moreover, TBI pathophysiology involves both primary

DOI:10.26502/droh.00100

Table 2: Main characteristics of selected biomarkers for TBI [16,30,57,62,65,79,94-105].

| Biomarker<br>acronym and<br>characteristics<br>(name; molecular weight; pHi)           | Function in brain /<br>Biological role  | Expression and detection  | Kinetics   | Diagnostic value & limitations  |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| <b>GFAP</b><br>(Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein;<br>~49.8 kDa; pHi 5.4–5.8)            | Major intermediate filament protein of mature astrocytes, structural component of the cytoskeleton, contributing to cell shape and stability. Supports bidirectional fluid exchange across CNS barriers and serves as a marker of astrocyte damage and astrogliosis | mRNA: ~10-fold higher in brain vs salivary gland. Protein: brain ~4 Log <sub>10</sub> ppm; saliva ~3 Log <sub>10</sub> ppm. Ratio saliva/blood: 0   | Half-life 24–48 h  | Specific for astrocyte injury, but also elevated in elderly and orthopedic patients. Interference from oral health status (see "Saliva in pTBI").   |
| UCH-L1 (Ubiquitin<br>CarboxylTerminal Hydrolase<br>Isozyme L1; 26–28 kDa;<br>pHi ~5.3) | Neuronal protein<br>degradation enzyme;<br>maintains axonal stability,<br>regulates synaptic function;<br>marker of neuronal injury   | mRNA: ~10-fold higher in brain vs salivary gland. Protein: brain 3–4 Log <sub>10</sub> ppm; plasma and salivary gland ~2.2 Log <sub>10</sub> ppm; saliva unknown. Ratio saliva/blood: 0.1                       | Half-life in patients<br>with TBI:<br>CSF=7 (0.1–55) h<br>Serum=9 (2–55) h | Reflects neuronal damage;<br>unstable marker (levels<br>fluctuate with BBB<br>integrity). Also expressed in<br>PNS, endocrine and cancer<br>cells; mutations linked to<br>Parkinson disease.                              |
| \$100B<br>(S100 Calcium Binding<br>Protein B;, 10.5 kDa; pHi<br>4.1–4.5)               | Astrocytic protein with<br>neurotrophic and cytokine<br>functions; regulates cell<br>cycle, differentiation, Ca <sup>2+</sup><br>fluxes; marker of astrocyte<br>activation and BBB<br>disruption  | mRNA: ~10-fold higher in brain vs salivary gland. Protein: brain/CSF ~3–3.2 Log <sub>10</sub> ppm; salivary gland/epithelium ~2.1 Log <sub>10</sub> ppm; saliva unknown. Ratio saliva/blood: 0.8                | 30-90 min.<br>25 min in patients<br>without ongoing<br>brain injury        | Sensitive but non-specific: increased after extracranial trauma, burns, pediatric age. Also expressed in non-neural tissues (adipocytes, melanocytes, lymphocytes, tumors).  Oral health may confound salivary detection. |
| NSE<br>(Neuron Specific Enolasw; 46<br>kDa; pHi 4.5)                                   | Glycolytic enzyme,<br>abundant in neurons/<br>neuroendocrine cells;<br>high levels promote<br>neuroinflammation, ECM<br>degradation   | mRNA: ~7-fold higher in brain vs salivary gland. Protein: brain 3.2–3.4 Log <sub>10</sub> ppm; salivary gland ~1.3 Log <sub>10</sub> ppm; oral epithelium ~2 Log <sub>10</sub> ppm. Ratio saliva/blood: unknown | Half-life ∼24 h  | Difficult to detect due to minimal plasma levels, rapid metabolism, high protein binding. Interference from erythrocytes and cancer cells.  |
| Cortisol<br>(Steroid hormone; 0.36 kDa;<br>362.46 g/mole)                              | Stress hormone produced<br>by adrenal gland; regulates<br>metabolism, immunity,<br>HPA-axis circadian rhythm  | Passes blood–saliva barrier as free cortisol (biologically active fraction)   | Half-life 70–120<br>min  | Non-specific marker;<br>elevated after stress/<br>trauma. Imbalances linked<br>to Cushing's and Addison's<br>disease.   |

Table 3: Recent evidence published on pTBI using serum biomarkers [6,9-11,24,26-30,71,110-111].

|                    | Table 5. Recent evidence published on pTb1 using serum biolinarkers [0,7-11,24,20-30,71,110-111]. |   |  |  |
|--------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Study / Year       | Design / Sample   | Biomarkers  | Main findings  |  |
| Marzano 2022 [6]   | Systematic review (56 studies, n=798 <19 yrs)   | S100B, NSE, GFAP,<br>UCH-L1                                 | S100B most studied; higher levels linked to worse outcome; GFAP and UCH-L1 differentiate TBI severity. Panels of biomarkers more informative than single measures. |  |
| Oris 2023 [9]      | Review<br>(12 studies)  | S100B   | Age-specific reference ranges established; levels decline with age; important for interpretation in infants.   |  |
| Malhorta 2024 [11] | Meta-analysis<br>(32 studies, n=4743)   | S100B, GFAP, UCH-L1,<br>NSE, tau, IL-6                      | Variable diagnostic performance across studies; AUC ranges: S100B 0.67–1.0, GFAP 0.41–0.85, UCH-L1 0.59–0.83.  |  |
| Morello 2024 [10]  | Systematic review (10 studies, n=1616)  | S100B   | Pooled sensitivity 98%, specificity 45%; excellent NPV (99%), limited PPV.   |  |
| Chiollaz 2024 [26] | Prospective cohort<br>(n=302 mTBI, 74 controls)   | S100B, GFAP, heart<br>fatty-acid binding protein<br>(HFABP) | At 100% sensitivity, specificity, to rule out the need of CT scans,low (~35–40%). GFAP slightly better than S100B.   |  |



| Tabor 2024 [28]     | Cohort<br>(n=154 concussions, 695<br>controls)                                   | GFAP, UCH-L1, NfL,<br>total tau                         | Compared to uninjured patient levels GFAP increased by 17% (males and females), UCH-L1 by 43% (females); NfL and tau elevated subacutely. Reliability concerns due to assay variability.   |
|---------------------|--|---|--|
| Puravet 2024 [30]   | Multicentre trial substudy(n=1249)   | GFAP, UCH-L1  | Combined GFAP+UCH-L1: sensitivity 100%, specificity 67%; useful to reduce CT scans.  |
| Pereira 2024 [24]   | Prospective study (n=15 TBI, 19 controls)  | GFAP, NfL, UCH-L1,<br>S-100B, tau, p-tau181             | All biomarkers increase in severe TBI vs ctrls; some differentiate severity even in mild/moderate cases.   |
| Mayer 2025 [29]     | Case-control<br>(n=59 pmTBI, 41 controls)  | GFAP, NFL, Tau, pTau<br>181 and UCH-L1                  | GFAP and UCH-L1 not different vs ctrls at 7d; NfL elevated up to 4 months.  Timing critical.   |
| Chiollaz 2024 [27]  | Prospective multicenter<br>cohort n=285 paediatricmTBI<br>(≤24 h), n=74 controls | IL6, IL8, IL10  | IL-6 and IL-10 significantly increased in mTBI vs controls. Within mTBI, IL-6 was higher in CT+ than CT- or observation groups. With sensitivity set at 100% (no CT+ missed), IL-6 specificity 48% for identifying CT-/observation; IL-8 not significant.                      |
| Kilinc 2025 [110]   | Case-control<br>(n=40 mTBI, 26 controls)   | CGRP, PACAP-38, VIP, and SP                             | All increased in TBI, esp. CT+; potential emerging biomarkers.   |
| Chiollaz 2025 [111] | Prospective multicenter<br>cohort; (n=419 mTBI, n=99<br>controls (≤24 h)         | IL6, NfL, NTproBNP,<br>GFAP, IL10, S100b, and<br>HFABP. | IL-6 was the strongest single marker: at 100% sensitivity, specificity 47.6%.  Duplex panels: IL-6+NfL 61%, IL-6+NT-proBNP 60%, IL-6+GFAP 57% (all at 100% sensitivity). Age correlation: GFAP, IL-10 and S100B decreased with age; IL-6 and NT-proBNP were not age-dependent. |
| Wei 2025 [71]       | Retrospective (n=532)  | Broad lab panel, NT-<br>proBNP, IL-6, CRP/Alb           | Prognostic model (AUC ≈0.8) combining lab markers + ML approaches shows promise.   |

structural damage and secondary neuroinflammatory mechanisms, each associated with distinct temporal and molecular biomarker profiles [15,35,43,61,119-123]. This complexity is further compounded by biological variability [10,26,28,29,92,95], biomarkers extracranial origin (e.g. fracture, neurodegenerative disorders) (Table 2), biological matrix (e.g. plasma, serum, CSF, saliva) [3,12,56-65,92,114], sampling time (Tables 2-4), assay differences [95,96], and interference from autoantibodies or pharmacological agents [96,118,119] (Supplementary Material S5). Anticoagulants use usually result in a CT scan for TBI at medium and high risk; however, only the French recommendation limits CT scan in cases of medium and low levels of S100B, GFAP and UCH-L1 (Table 4).

Finally, although blood remains the preferred matrix, alternative fluids, particularly saliva, have emerged as promising in paediatrics due to their non-invasiveness and the possibility of repeated sampling. However, salivary biomarker analysis requires rigorous control of pre-analytical variables and a deep understanding of the biological mechanisms underlying secretion. Factors such as salivary flow rate, agerelated variability, and swallowing dysfunction, common in children or adults with acquired brain injuries, may influence biomarker concentrations [124-126]. These aspects are further discussed in dedicated sections below.

## Neuroanatomical and Functional Vulnerabilities in pTBI

The paediatric brain presents unique anatomical and physiological features that increase its vulnerability to TBI.

Factors such as a larger head-to-body ratio, underdeveloped neck musculature, higher water content, and lower myelination contribute to a different biomechanical response to trauma compared to adults [127]. As a result, injury patterns differ, with children exhibiting a higher proportion of diffuse brain injuries and different lesion topographies [127]. This increased prevalence of diffuse brain injuries in children is partly explained by their open basal cisterns, which allow for a different redistribution of traumatic forces [46].

Neuroimaging findings also differ significantly: while most acute lesions involve haemorrhages, injuries in children often affect extracerebral structures, including the skull and facial bones [127]. Despite clinical suspicion, CT scans are frequently negative, normal in up to 78% of paediatric cases, even when other injuries are present [55] (Supplementary material S2a). Skull fractures are reported in approximately 5% of mild and up to 50% of severe pTBIcases, and are associated with poorer clinical outcomes [128]. Beyond its traditional mechanical role in trauma, emerging evidence suggests that skull bone marrow may contribute to the neuroinflammatory response, potentially influencing secondary injury mechanisms [128] (Supplementary Materials S4). Moreover, mild TBI can disrupt age-related brain development, leading to long-term reductions in both grey and white matter volumes and associated neurocognitive impairments [129].

The brainstem, which coordinates vital functions such as respiration, blood pressure, sleep, and swallowing, is particularly susceptible to injury in children due to its



Table 4: Main recommendations using biomarker-based risk stratification of TBI to minimize unnecessary CT scans [36,37,96].

| Author                          | CT Scan required  | Biomarker use and its levels   | Clinical criteria  | Risk and Recommended action  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| French Guidelines [96]          | NO  | Not recommended  | -GCS 15 -Asymptomatic patient without medium or high risk criteria   | Low risk.  - Discharge patient with oral and written instruction for home monitoring   |
|                                 | NO  | -S100B within 3 hrs -GFAP+UCH-L1 within 12 hrs -S100B < 0.10µg/L or GFAP and UCH-L1 < cut-off            | -Trauma with high kinetic -Retrograde amnesia 30 min before injury -GCS<15 within 2 h post injury with intoxication -Age ≥65 yrs and antiplatelet therapy  | - Medium risk Discharge patient with oral and written instruction for home monitoring.   |
|                                 | <b>YES</b><br>within 8 h  | -S100B after 3 hrs<br>GFAP+UCH-L1 after<br>12 hrs<br>-S100B > 0.10μg/L or<br>GFAP and UCH-L1<br>>cut-off | See above  | -Medium riskIf the first CT scan is normal, discharge patient with oral and written instruction for home monitoring                  |
|                                 | YES<br>within 1 h   | Not recommended  | -GCS<15 within 2 h post injury -Focal neurological deficits -Post traumatic convulsion -Clinical signs of skull fracture -Repeated vomiting -Anticoagulant intake or Antiplatelet therapy -Congenital haemorrhagic disease | -High risk Admission for observation ≥24 h -Consultation with neurosurgeon -Repeat CT scan if neurological and/or GCS deterioration  |
| Scandinavian<br>Guidelines [96] | NO  | Not recommended  | GCS 15   | -Minimal risk -Discharge patient with oral and written instruction for home monitoring   |
|                                 | NO  | -time injury-S100-B<br>sampling < 6h<br>-100B < 0.10 μg/L  | -GCS 14 -GCS 15 + suspected/confirmed loss consciousness -GCS 15 + repeated vomiting (≥ 2 episodes)  | -Low risk -Discharge patient with oral and written instruction for home monitoring   |
|                                 | YES   | -Time injury-S100-B<br>sampling > 6h<br>-S100B > 0.10 μg/L   | See above  | -Mediun risk -If CT scan is normal, discharge patient with oral and written instruction for home monitoring                          |
|                                 | YES   | -Time injury-S100-B<br>sampling > 6h<br>-S100B > 0.10 μg/L   | -GCS 14-15 and<br>-Age ≥65 yrs and antiplatelet<br>therapy   | -Medium risk -If CT scan is normal, discharge patien with oral and written instruction for home monitoring                           |
|                                 | YES   | Not recommended  | -GCS 14-15 and -Focal traumatic seizures -Clinical signs of depressed or basal skull fracture -Shunt-treated hydrocephalus -Therapeutic anticoagulants or coagulation disorders  | -High risk -Admission for observation ≥24 h -Consultation with neurosurgeon -Repeat CT scan if neurological and/or GCS deterioration |
| Mavoudis<br>et al. 2025<br>[36] | NO  | -S100B<0.1 μg/L<br>-GFAP within normal<br>limits   | -No neurological symptoms  | -Low risk -Safe discharge with symptoms monitoring instructions and follow-up care if needed   |
|                                 | YES, if<br>symptoms<br>worsen or<br>a history<br>of multiple<br>concussions | -Moderately elevated<br>levels<br>-GFAP> 626pg/mL<br>-UCH-L1><br>225 pg/mL                               | -Mild neurological symptoms  | -Moderate risk -Closer observation and a clinical reassessment within a few hours.   |



|                               | YES, immediate  | -S100B significantly<br>elevated<br>-GFAP and UCH-<br>L1highly elevated  | -Persistent or worsening neurological symptoms              | -High risk<br>-Possible hospitalization   |
|-------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
|                               | Not indicated   | -Persistently elevated NfL and tau protein levels, those have been associated with chronic post-concussive symptoms and neurodegenerative risk | -Observation of persistent<br>symptoms beyond<br>four weeks | -Unknown risk -Neurology referral, cognitive rehabilitation, and long-term monitoring for long-term prognosis |
| Manley<br>et al. 2025<br>[37] | Very low risk of CT - detectable intracranial injury with sampling up to 24hr after | GFAP -Upper reference range (97.5th) in healthy adults: 51-71 pg/mL -Cut off: 22-65 pg/mL  | Not indicated   | Not indicated   |
|                               |   | UCH-L1 -Upper reference range (97.5th) in healthy adults:157-459 pg/mL -Cut off: 327-400 pg/mL   | Not indicated   | Not indicated   |
|                               | — injury  | S100B -Upper reference range (95th) in healthy adults: 0.105 µg/L -Cutoff: 0.105 µg/L  | Not indicated   | Not indicated   |

anatomical orientation [61]. This is clinically relevant, as brainstem damage may influence salivary secretion and the release of brain-derived biomarkers into saliva [81]. Cranial nerves (V, VII, IX, X, XII), which regulate salivation and swallowing, are affected in pTBI (cranial nerve injury: 6.5% (CI 5.0-8.3) and 4.7% in 1-15 yrs of age, respectively) and may contribute to secondary dysfunctions [130,131].

In children, blood-brain barrier (BBB) disruption typically affects the microvascular compartment [132], influencing the passage of molecules into the bloodstream and possibly saliva. Mechanisms allowing the passage of brain-derived molecules into saliva, such as cranial nerve transport, exosomal pathways, and the glymphatic system [5,15,22,25,81,82,87,133-137], are discussed in dedicated sections below and in more detail in Supplementary Material S2. Finally, age-dependent variability in cerebral blood flow may further modulate biomarker kinetics, although its precise impact on biomarker levels remains unclear [138].

## Molecular Mechanisms of Injury and Inflammation in pTBI

Paediatric TBI triggers a multifactorial cascade of molecular events that contribute to progressive cellular damage beyond the initial mechanical insult [61,120]. These include excitotoxicity, calcium overload, oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and neuroinflammation. Gene expression studies in animal models have revealed altered regulation of pathways involved in energy metabolism, signal transduction, cell adhesion, and transcription [4,7,120]. The characteristics of each fluid brain biomarker (Table 2)

influence its ability to reflect primary versus secondary injury mechanisms. Interpretation is further complicated by preanalytical factors (e.g., sampling time), biological variability in paediatric patients (Table 3), analytical variability, and potential extracranial confounders (Table 2) [139]. A wide range of fluid biomarkers have been proposed for mTBI, including indicators of astrocytic injury (e.g., S100B, GFAP), neuronal and axonal damage (e.g., NSE, UCH-L1, αII-spectin, tau proteins, neurofilaments), blood-brain barrier disruption (e.g., occludin) [140], and neuroinflammation (e.g., IgA, ILs, MMPs, S100A12) [141]. Neuropeptides including CGRP, PACAP-38, VIP, and substance P were significantly elevated in young children with mTBI, particularly in those with CT-positive findings, suggesting diagnostic utility [110]. Emerging markers such as exosomes and miRNAs are under investigation, but currently lack specificity regarding injury severity or anatomical localization. Genetic factors, including single nucleotide polymorphisms in APOE, BDNF, COMT, and ion channel genes (e.g., CACNA1A, ATP1A2), may contribute to individual susceptibility and outcomes in pTBI [7,142]. The APOE genotype, particularly the ε4 allele, has been associated with pTBI outcomes, although its prognostic impact appears time-dependent and may differ from that observed in adults [143,144]. While the molecular consequences of concussion and subconcussive impacts remain poorly defined, elevated levels of brain-enriched proteins such as NfL, GFAP, and autoantibodies, persisting months after injury, have been associated with long-term complications and may support extended monitoring [13]. Salivary IgA-related immune responses are also being explored as potential biomarkers of asymptomatic brain



injury [141]. A machine learning-based prognostic model for pTBI has been proposed, combining clinical parameters (e.g., GCS, pupillary response, location of the head haematoma) and a risk score based on laboratory indicators (e.g., LDH, NT-proBNP, pH, Hb, Alb, CRP/albumin ratio) [71]. Although coagulopathy is a recognized complication in TBI, paediatric data on the predictive value of biomarkers, such as copeptin, S100A12, the neutrophil to lymphocytes ratio, IL-33 and galectin-3, for coagulopathy and progressive haemorrhagic injury remain limited [139,145]. Beyond structural and metabolic pathways, inflammatory mediators have received increasing attention. Cytokines such as IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α, IL-10, and IFN-γ orchestrate both local and systemic immune responses involving resident CNS cells and circulating immune cells. In pTBI, the pro-inflammatory response appears more intense and prolonged than in adults. IL-6, in particular, has pleiotropic effects in the CNS, including modulation of acute-phase proteins, immune cell activation, blood-brain barrier permeability, and cerebral oedema through aquaporin-4 upregulation [4,146]. Recent studies have reported elevated serum levels of IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α in pmTBI patients compared to healthy controls [27,147,148]. Extracellular vesicle-associated IL-6 was significantly increased within hours after injury in adolescent athletes [149]. Preliminary evidence also suggests that combining IL-6 with other biomarkers—such as NfL, GFAP, or NT-proBNP— may help rule out intracranial injuries, potentially reducing unnecessary CT scans and observation stays [111]. Conversely, general inflammatory indices (e.g., systemic immune-inflammation index or neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio) currently lack validation in this context [106,150].

## Saliva as an Emerging Matrix for TBI Biomarkers

Recent studies have explored the use of saliva as a matrix for detecting biomarkers of mTBI, both in adults and children (Table 5; Supplementary Material S6) [5,12,15,17-23,25,151-155]. In pTBI, the focus has been on brain-specific proteins such as S100B, GFAP, and UCH-L1, as well as non-specific biomarkers including Beclin1, IL-6, IL-8, D-dimer, miRNA, mitochondrial DNA, and soluble NCAM [15,92]. In adults, saliva has been investigated as a potential source for detecting cortisol, EVs, GFAP, NF-L, S100B, t-tau, UCH-L1, and CRP (Supplementary Material S6). Although these findings support the feasibility of salivary testing, the detectability of brain biomarkers in saliva varies markedly. This is partly due to differences in molecular weight, which affects their diffusion or transport from blood to saliva. For instance, GFAP and UCH-L1 show low saliva/blood ratios, whereas S100B reaches a ratio of approximately 0.8, indicating more efficient passage or different release mechanisms (Table 2). Notably, these differences are not solely attributable to molecular size, as local release from inflamed oral tissues, altered salivary flux, salivary gland AQP, and altered oral functions may also play a role as reported in details below.

Table 5: Recent evidence published on mTBI and pTBI using salivary biomarkers [5,12,15,17, 19-23,25,152,153].

| Authors,<br>publication<br>yrs (ref)  | Study   | Patients and controls  | Biomarkers                                  | Saliva sampling, storage and methods  | Main evidence  |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| Ewing-Cobbs<br>et al, 2017<br>[17]    | prospectivecohortstudy<br>to evaluate post-<br>traumatic stress after<br>6 months | 55 children with TBI (8–<br>15 yrs), 29 extracranial<br>injury, 33 healthy<br>controls; GCS:3-8:36%;<br>9-12: 11%; 13-15:53% | Cortisol,<br>salivary<br>α-Amylase<br>(sAA) | Saliva sampling (by polyolefin swabs) before and after the TSST-C. Frozen samples ( -20° C). Analytics using commercially available assay. Intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were, on average, less than 10% and 15% respectively. | Injured children showed<br>higher cortisol; TBI<br>children had elevated<br>cortisol, adolescents<br>elevated α-Amylase.<br>Altered stress reactivity<br>associated with PTSS. |
| Fedorchak<br>et al,<br>(2021)<br>[19] | multicenter study   | 112 mTBI (8–24 yrs). Sample collection: ≤14 days post-injury ≥21 days post-injury  | nc RNAs                                     | Non-fasting saliva samples<br>(n=505) collected using<br>OraCollectSwabs;<br>RNA sequencing   | Machine learning model with 16 ncRNAs predicted persistent post-concussion symptoms (AUC 0.86). Combined ncRNAs, balance, cognition best predicted recovery.                   |
| Ebraimi et al,<br>2022<br>[20]        | cross-sectional<br>descriptive study  | 150 mTBI patients<br>(mean 33 yrs; mostly<br>adults)   | Salivary<br>α-amylase<br>(sAA)              | Unstimulated saliva<br>sample (1-2 mL) collected<br>immediately after patient<br>arrival at ED. Use of amylase<br>assay kit for serum   | Higher salivary amylase in patients with CT abnormalities; no correlation with age, sex, or consciousness level.   |



| Tabor et al,<br>2023<br>[153]          | cohort study in<br>paediatric ice hockey<br>players. | 233 ice hockey players;<br>165 baseline, 68 post-<br>SRC; adolescents  | cortisol   | Saliva  | Post- sport-related concussion athletes had significantly lower cortisol vs. baseline. Cortisol not correlated with symptoms, but females reported more and more severe symptoms.                        |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Hicks et al.,<br>2022<br>[22]          | prospective multi-<br>center study                   | 251 concussion patients<br>(mean age 18±7 yrs; 57%<br>male)  | 22 salivary<br>miRNAs  | Saliva swabs collected in a<br>non-fasting state.<br>See details of molecular<br>methods.   | Identified 10 clusters;<br>pathways involved<br>adrenergic, estrogen,<br>fatty acid metabolism,<br>GABAergic, synaptic<br>vesicle, TGF-β signaling.  |
| Hiskens et al.,<br>2022<br>[5]         | systematic review                                    | 9 studies, 2018–2021,<br>heterogeneous<br>population<br>(athletes, hospital<br>patients, children,<br>adults).5 articles<br>( pediatric population). | 188 salivary<br>miRNAs, 13<br>consistent<br>across ≥2<br>studies | - by saliva expectoration into a container plus sample preservation (5 studies) - by designed sponge (3 studies) - both methods (1 study)   | Heterogeneity precluded<br>meta-analysis; 13<br>candidate miRNAs<br>showed consistent<br>directionality (e.g., let-7i-<br>5p, miR-107, miR-181a-<br>5p up; miR-182-5p, miR-<br>26b-5p down).             |
| Ewing-Cobbs<br>et al, 2023<br>[21]     | study with prospective cohort design                 | 74 TBI, 35 EI, 51 controls<br>(8–15 yrs), 7 months<br>post-injury  | Cortisol,<br>salivary<br>α-Amylase<br>(sAA)                      | As in ref (17)  | Altered sAA but not cortisol reactivity; sAA linked with emotion dysregulation and sex differences (greater in girls).   |
| Kvist et al,<br>2023<br>[23]           | small prospective study                              | 28 pediatric mTBI (mean age 8 yrs), 30 controls  | lectin-binding<br>glycan   | Saliva (1-2 mL), . collected at least 1 h after eating. saliva collection: - in children aged ≤4 years by using a syringe without a needle from the sublingual space in the mouth; - in patients >4 years by rinsing their mouths twice with pure water and then to spit saliva into a clean plastic cup.  Lectin-bound glycan levels were measured by a biochemical glycan-binding analysis and by fluorescence. | Significant changes in 9<br>salivary glycans in TBI<br>vs. controls; high inter-<br>individual variability.  |
| Mavroudis<br>et al, 2023<br>[15]       | review   | 12 studies, 83% published in 2020-2022,. only 5 articles concern the pediatric population.   | S100B, NfL,<br>miRNA, EVs  | saliva  | Research promising but insufficient; need validation. Pediatric data: S100B higher in TBI (AUC 0.675); salivary miRNAs (e.g., miR-27a-5p/miR-30a-3p ratio AUC 0.81); mixed findings for S100B in sports. |
| Feinberg C<br>et al.,<br>2024<br>[12]  | review   | 29 studies, 1268 mTBI subjects   | miRNA,<br>cortisol,<br>melatonin,<br>others                      | saliva and urine  | Identified 8 salivary and<br>2 urinary biomarkers<br>with diagnostic/<br>monitoring potential.   |
| Ciancaglini<br>et al.,<br>2024<br>[25] | a study with case-<br>control design                 | 14 severe pediatric TBI,<br>9 controls (mean ~6–10<br>yrs)   | miRNA  | Preliminary tap water rinse or oral hygiene regimen. Collection of sub-lingual saliva (by P-157 nucleic acid stabilization swabs) within 24 h, 24–48 h and >48 h after injury. Samples stored at -20 °C and processed by a Genomic Sciences Facility.   | Clear separation of TBI vs. controls by miRNA profiles; specific miRNAs up- or down-regulated; temporal changes post- injury.  |



| Miller et al,<br>2024<br>[152] | prospective cohort<br>study | 60 children (11–17 yrs)<br>with Persistent Post-<br>concussive Symptoms<br>(PPCS) and controls | 827 salivary<br>miRNAs | Saliva (2 mL) to obtain 300<br>ng of extracted RNA.<br>See paper for details. | 13 miRNAs differed over time between PPCS vs. recovered children, suggesting prognostic role. |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------------|---|---|
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------------|---|---|

## Analytical, Biological and Clinical Challenges in Salivary Biomarker Use

For what has been shown so far, saliva appears to have genuine potential as a diagnostic fluid in the context of traumatic brain injury, offering a non-invasive and physiologically meaningful alternative to blood-based testing [81,82]. However, caution should be exercised when using saliva for TBI biomarker detection, as it is associated with a range of biological, pre-analytical and analytical confounders that may limit its clinical applicability (Supplementary Material S7) [79,99].

#### The Influence of the Oral Health Status

Biological variability includes oral health status, age, and sex. Conditions such as gingivitis and periodontitis alter salivary concentrations of proteins such as S100 proteins, GFAP, and NSE. For example, increased levels of salivary and GFC S100A8 and S100A9 have been found in individuals with active disease, whereas these proteins were downregulated in patients with gingivitis [156]. Accordingly, the expression of GFAP and amyloid beta peptides expression (which has antimicrobial effects on oral pathogens) is increased in GCF from patients with gingivitis and periodontitis [157,158]. Moreover, increased levels of albumin and haemoglobin subunits in GCF and saliva of patients with gingivitis and periodontitis are consistent with damage to the gingival epithelial barrier, as well as the well- known presence of blood residues after tooth brushing [81,82,159-161]. NSE, being present in neurons but also in erythrocytes and platelets, lacks specificity for TBI when measured in saliva from inflamed oral environments.

Salivary cytokine concentrations, including IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, and IL-10, have been shown to remain stable across age (4–18 years) and between sexes [162]. However, they are significantly influenced by periodontal health and salivary flow. Gingivitis, which is common during orthodontic treatment in children, leads to elevated levels of several cytokines [82]. Moreover, higher salivary flow rates are associated with lower cytokine concentrations, complicating their interpretation. A careful assessment of oral inflammatory status is therefore essential when interpreting salivary biomarkers in pTBI. Further studies are needed to better understand the possible links between oral microbial changes and cytokine levels in this context [85,86].

Regarding miRNAs, although several are promising as TBI biomarkers and appear unaffected by oral disease, their expression depends on collection timing, glandular origin, epithelial cell desquamation, and oral microbiota [163-165]. Some miRNAs associated with post-concussive symptoms overlap with those implicated in oral cancers (let 7a-3p, miRNA 133 a-5p, miR 769-5p, miR21-5), orthodontic remodeling (Let 7a-3p), or cleft lip/palate, limiting their specificity [166,167].

#### Salivary Flux in Paediatric and Adult Population

Salivary flow rate varies with age, sex, body weight, type of stimulation, and neurological condition [81]. High flow rates are physiological in infants and typically decline with age [81]. Excessive drooling (>3.5 mL/min) becomes pathological after the age of 4 and may occur in children with cerebral palsy, TBI, or other neurodevelopmental disorders. In healthy children, stimulated saliva shows higher flow rates than unstimulated, with older children exhibiting greater differences [162]. The mean salivary flow rate was  $0.8 \pm 0.5$  ml/min in children (7.8 $\pm 2.4$  years) and 1.5 $\pm 0.8$  ml/ min in adolescents (15.1±1.7 years) [162]. The median of unstimulated salivary flow rate was 0.87 (0.54, 1.11) ml/ min for boys, 0.65 (0.37, 0.98) ml/min for girls and 0.76 (0.49, 1.05) ml/min overall [168]. Obesity is associated with a modest reduction in stimulated flow, particularly during adolescence [169].

Neurological damage affects salivary gland innervation: parasympathetic input drives secretion, while sympathetic tone regulates duct contraction [170]. In this context, chronic sialorrhoea, though relatively rare, may indicate underlying neurological conditions, including TBI [171]. The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis may be altered post-TBI [4]. While data in paediatrics are limited, adult mTBI is associated with a transient increase in salivary cortisol, despite preserved circadian rhythm [103]. Long-term survivors of pTBI generally show normal or recovered HPA function. Chronic fatigue is frequently reported after TBI, but does not appear to be clearly associated with HPA axis dysfunction. In fact, morning salivary cortisone levels were higher in TBI survivors, who have a high prevalence of fatigue, compared to healthy controls.

Although rare, chronic sialorrhoea [172] presents with recognizable clinical signs, including facial skin maceration, oral infections, and respiratory or fluid balance disturbances.

#### **Effects of Drugs**

Pharmacological agents may influence biomarker detection through several mechanisms, including upregulation or suppression of protein synthesis, modulation of salivary gland function and flow, drugs [173]. Drugs like aspirin,



clomipramine, curcumin, and methamphetamine affect GFAP expression; others like olopatadine, cocaine, and dopamine have been reported to effect S100B or UCH-L1 expression and then could interfere with the detection of S100B or UCH-L1 in saliva (97) (Supplementary material S5).

### **Pre-Analytical Factors**

The timing of sample collection is one of the main confounding factors in TBI biomarker analysis. Available data indicate substantial heterogeneity: samples are collected on admission in 30.4% of cases, within 6 hours in 10.1%, within 12 hours in 4.1%, within 24 hours in 28.7%, after 24 hours in 16.6%, and are unspecified in 10.1% of cases [92]. This wide variability, especially in delayed sampling (ranging from <30 minutes to 14 days), hinders the interpretation of biomarker kinetics, particularly for miRNAs, and makes it difficult to assess the effects of sex, diet, exercise, and circadian rhythm [5]. French guidelines recommend collecting serum samples within 3 hours for S100B and GFAP, and within 3 to 12 hours for UCH-L1 (Table 4; Supplementary Material S3) [96]. Other studies, such as Manley's, have reported meaningful levels even at 24 hours post-injury [37]. According to the Oris group, S100B, GFAP, and UCH-L1 are robust biomarkers with good pre-analytical stability in serum or plasma EDTA. S100B is stable at room temperature for up to 8 hours or refrigerated for 48 hours; GFAP and UCH-L1 remain stable for at least 3 days at 4-5°C, and for several months when frozen at -20°C or -80°C [9,62,95,96]. S100B tolerates up to five freeze-thaw cycles [174]. From an endogenous interference standpoint, S100B and UCH-L1 are unaffected by haemolysis due to their absence in erythrocytes, while GFAP may be susceptible [96]. IFUs (Instructions for Use) for tests such as Liaison® (CLIA) and Abbott's GFAP/ UCH-L1 recommend avoiding haemolysis, lipemia, buffy coat contamination, and mechanical stress (e.g., vortexing) [175,176].

For saliva, stability data are scarce [9,62,95,96]. Salivary proteins may undergo modification (e.g., extensive proteolytic cleavage, partial deglycosylation, and protein-protein complex formation) and degradation by the oral microbiome. Importantly, common sample preservatives (e.g. sodium azide) may interfere with assays [82]. In addition to stability, sample collection protocols themselves remain poorly standardised. Collection methods, such as drool, swab, or unstimulated saliva, are heterogeneous and are seldom adapted to paediatric populations [82]. Important factors like circadian rhythm, flow rate, periodontal status, and, especially, centrifugation are often neglected. These aspects are less relevant in emergency settings for moderate/severe TBI, but are critical in research and clinical use of salivary biomarkers in pmTBI.

### Analytics

A wide range of analytical methods has been employed

for the quantification of brain-specific biomarkers, particularly S100B, GFAP, and UCH-L1, primarily in research contexts [2-5,6,10,12,16,18-22, 31,57,82,92,152]. Available techniques for blood-based detection include ELISA (most commonly used), ECLIA, LIA, IRMA, IFMA, each with distinct analytical characteristics [9]. The Oris group provided a comparative overview of commercial platforms in current use, reporting information such as manufacturer, analyser type, sample volume, reaction time, detection technique, and decision thresholds [96]. The analytical variability of GFAP and UCH-L1 assays in serum or plasma has also been documented, including the impact of autoantibodies (e.g., anti-GFAP, anti-S100B), which may lead to overestimation due to analytical interference [62,96,9,25,26]. The analytical performances of commercially available assays for S100B, GFAP, and UCH-L1 have been extensively evaluated and are generally considered acceptable for clinical use. Detailed comparative analytical data are reported in Supplementary Material S8. Although assays from different manufacturers showed strong correlation, they did not exhibit complete agreement, with systematic differences in both measured concentrations and decision thresholds. To date, all manufacturer-approved methods for these brain biomarkers are validated for use in serum or plasma, not saliva. Nonetheless, several exploratory techniques have been applied to saliva in pTBI, including immune enzymatic assays, proteomics, DNA fingerprinting, and RNA sequencing. However, the stability of biomarkers in saliva, especially when entrapped in EV, remains uncertain—as does the influence of salivary pH compared to serum (Supplementary Material S7). Currently, cortisol is the only salivary test that is CE-IVD marked and validated for clinical use. Other salivary assays—such as those for α-amylase, CRP, IL-1, IL-6, cytokine panels, secretory IgA, and markers of blood contamination like transferrin—remain confined to the research setting, with no regulatory approval for diagnostic application [82].

## Additional Challenges in the Use of Saliva for Biomarker Assessment of pTBI in Clinical Settings

Here, we aim to discuss other factors that could influence specificity. Currently, the specificity is insufficient at 45% for S100B, 11–41% for GFAP and UCH-L1, even when serum samples are used [96,177]. Beyond analytical and pre-analytical challenges (Table 2; Supplementary Material S5), the interpretation of salivary biomarkers for pTBI is further complicated by a series of additional biological and physiological factors (Table 3-5; Supplementary Material S3). These include the potential extracranial origin of brain proteins [15,31,66], embryological overlap between neural and salivary tissues, protein release and clearance mechanisms, as well as individual variables such as periodontal status, renal function, or local inflammation (Table 6) [82,86,95,96,99,122,137,174,177-195] (Supplementary



Material S9). Taken together, these elements introduce substantial variability and may confound the diagnostic interpretation of salivary measurements in both research and clinical settings.

## Experimental biomarkers: exosomes and non-coding RNAs

Non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs), particularly miRNAs, are highly expressed in the nervous system and play key roles in neuronal physiology, including protein regulation, synapse maturation, and neural circuit formation [5,57,66,68,100,149,196-199]. Their detection within EVs—including exosomes—is being explored in TBI as a means to monitor injury mechanisms and recovery [68,100,200]. EV may include brain-specific proteins (e.g., GFAP, NF-L, UCH-L1), nucleic acids, and metabolites, potentially reflecting the cell of origin and ongoing pathological processes. However, clinical use remains experimental due to challenges in EV isolation, assay standardisation, and uncertainties about BBB passage [163,201]. In pTBI, elevated levels of exosomal GFAP and neurofilament light chains have been reported, along with marked changes in salivary

EV RNA profiles [57,121]. In particular, upregulation of complement system mRNAs (e.g., C1QB, C4A, C1QA, C1S) has been observed in patients with acute post-traumatic headache, suggesting that salivary EV analysis may help monitor mTBI complications [54,202].

#### **Conclusion**

While the evidence surrounding blood and salivary biomarkers, particularly exosome miRNAs, in the diagnosis and management of pTBI is promising, current data remain insufficient to fully elucidate their roles. Saliva, especially in pediatric populations, offers distinct organizational advantages, including non-invasiveness and the potential for repeated sampling. However, further research is needed to establish standardized protocols and clarify whether salivary biomarkers simply reflect molecules already present in the blood, detect brain-derived molecules earlier than blood tests, or identify molecules that do not appear in blood at all. The biological significance of salivary biomarkers in pTBI remains unclear, and current findings do not provide a complete understanding of the extent to which salivary markers can enhance diagnostic precision. Furthermore, there

**Table 6:** Additional biological and physiological factors influencing salivary biomarker interpretation [82,86,95,96,99,122,137,139,174,177-195].

| Factors  | Key points and Rationale  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Extracranial origin of brain proteins                          | S100B and other proteins can derive from extracerebral tissues (e.g., adipose tissue, melanocytes), reducing specificit [177]. Elevations may also occur after fasting, exercise, trauma without brain injury, or surgery (95). Despite this increases after TBI mainly reflect BBB disruption [178].   |  |  |  |
| Embyological overlap<br>between neural and<br>salivary tissues | Shared neural crest origin explains basal presence of GFAP, UCH-L1, S100B, RAGE, and AQPs in salivary glands [96]. Expression varies across glands and developmental stages (Supplementary Materials S9) [179].   |  |  |  |
| Protein release  | GFAP, UCH-L1, NSE are released with cell damage; S100B also has extracellular functions. Entry into blood occurs via glymphatic flow, BBB disruption, or RAGE-mediated transport. Cytokines may further modulate permeability [99]. Similar tight-junction mechanisms regulate salivary glands [180].   |  |  |  |
| and clearance<br>mechanisms                                    | Genes involved in brain protein transport or clearance (RAGE, AQP-4, AQP-4-AS1) are expressed in all salivary glands [179]. AQP-4 is localised in myoepithelial cells around salivary lobules and ducts, with reduced expression in primary Sjögren's syndrome [181,182].   |  |  |  |
| Aquaporin expression in brain and salivary glands              | AQP4 clears proteins in brain; AQP5 regulates saliva secretion. Expression ratios change with development and inflammation [179]. AQP genes (3, 4, 5, 8, AQP4-AS1) are linked to cerebral edema, salivary dysfunction, and neuropsychiatric conditions [183-186]. Their role in TBI remains under investigation.  |  |  |  |
| Half-life of TBI<br>biomarkers                                 | In blood, half-lives range from 7–36 h; in saliva they are unknown. Simulations suggest rapid S100B kinetics (peaks within 0.2–0.4 h) [178]. Stability may differ for free vs. EV-bound proteins, and is influenced by proteolysis and oxidative stress. Only in blood, three biomarker trajectories have been described: persistently high, persistently low, and reversa of decline (the latter predicting deterioration) [122].          |  |  |  |
| Individual factors   |   |  |  |  |
| Renal function   | Impaired renal clearance, especially in pediatric abusive TBI, elevates serum S100B. GFAP and UCH-L1 show poccorrelation between serum and urine, suggesting limited renal elimination [99,137,139,187-189].  |  |  |  |
| Periodontal disease  | Gingivitis and periodontitis allow serum proteins to leak into saliva (82,159) and the first one affects up to 70% of those over seven years (190). Periodontal pathogens (e.g., <i>Porphyromonas, a</i> key drive of periodontal disease) can disrupt BBB and promote neuroinflammation [191-194]. Oral microbiome changes ( <i>Lactobacillus, Saccharomyces, Micrococcus</i> ) have been linked to symptom burden in pediatric mTBI [86]. |  |  |  |
| Local inflammation   | Oral infections may downregulate AQP5, impairing salivary secretion and contributing to neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration [195].  |  |  |  |

Citation: Livia Barenghi, Alberto Barenghi, Matteo Vidali. Paediatric Traumatic Brain Injury: The Evolving Role of Blood and Salivary Biomarkers.

Dental Research and Oral Health. 8 (2025): 109-132.



is a pressing need to investigate the impact of biological, preanalytical, and analytical variability on salivary biomarker levels, including the influence of salivary flow rate, oral health, and circadian rhythms, which may all play a role in the variability observed in both clinical and research settings. To move forward, well-designed studies are required to establish robust pediatric reference intervals and cut-off values for salivary biomarkers, which remain a key area of uncertainty. Additionally, personalized monitoring of concussion in athletes or individuals with chronic conditions should be further explored to optimize the clinical utility of these biomarkers. The development of reliable serum and salivary tests for routine clinical use is still in its early stages, and cross-platform comparisons remain challenging. Emerging technologies such as wireless biosensors and AI-generated feature clusters for diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic applications hold great promise but require further validation. As research progresses, it is essential that both pre-analytical and analytical variabilities are well understood and controlled to ensure that future recommendations in clinical practice are evidence-based and applicable across diverse healthcare settings.

#### **Statements**

Ethic approval: Not need Conflict of interest: None

#### **Author's contribution**

Conceptualization, LB, MV; data curation, LB, AB, MV; forma l analysis, LB, AB, MV; funding acquisition, LB; investigation, LB , M.V; methodology, LB, AB, M.V; project administration, LB; resources LB; supervision, MV; validation, LB, AB, MV; writing-original draft, LB; writing-review and editing, LB, M.V.

#### Declaration on the use of AI

The authors declare that they have not used AI-tools for writing and editing the manuscript.

### **Consent for publication**

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### **Acknowledgments**

The text has been checked by a common word processor and DeepL Write for "spelling" and "grammar".

#### **Funding**

The publication costs of this work were supported by Integrated Orthodontic Services Srl, Lecco, Italy.

#### References

1. Guideline National System (Sistema Nazionale Linee Guida (SNLG)) (2025).

- Maas AIR, Menon DK, Adelson PD, et al. Traumatic brain injury: integrated approaches to improve prevention, clinical care, and research. The Lancet Neurology 16 (2017): 987-1048.
- 3. Pareja JM, Li Xue, Gandham N, et al. Pediatric TBI workgroup. Biomarkers in moderate to severe pediatric traumatic brain injury- a review of the literature. Pediatric Neurology 130 (2022): 60-68.
- 4. Serpa RO, Ferguson L, Larson C, et al. Pathophysiology of Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury. Frontiers in Neurology 12 (2021): 696510.
- Hiskens MI, Mengistu TS, Li KM, et al. Systematic Review of the Diagnostic and Clinical Utility of Salivary microRNAs in Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI). International Journal of Molecular Sciences 23 (2022): 13160.
- Marzano LAS, Batista JPT, de Abreu Arruda M, et al. Traumatic brain injury biomarkers in pediatric patients: a systematic Review. Neurosurgical Review 45 (2022): 167-197.
- Tajik M, Noseworthy MD. A review of molecular and genetic factors for determining mild traumatic brain injury severity and recovery. Brain Disorders 8 (2022): 100058.
- 8. Ahmed, Z. Current Clinical Trials in Traumatic Brain Injury. Brain Sciences 12(2022): 527.
- 9. Oris C, Kahouadji S, Durif J, et al. S100B, Actor and Biomarker of Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 24 (2023): 6602.
- 10. Morello A, Schiavetti I, Lo Bue, et al. Update on the role of S100B in traumatic brain injury in pediatric population: a meta-analysis. Child's Nervous System 40 (2024): 3745-3756.
- 11. Malhorta AK, Ide K, Salaheen Z, et al. Acute fluid biomarkers for diagnosis and prognosis in children with mild traumatic brain injury: a systematic review. Molecular Diagnosis & Therapy 28 (2024): 169-187.
- 12. Feinberg C, Mayes KD, Portman E, et al. Non-invasive fluid biomarkers in the diagnosis of mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI): a systematic review. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry 95 (2024): 184-192.
- 13. Friberg S, Lindblad C, Zeiler FA, et al. Fluid biomarkers of chronic traumatic brain injury. Nature Reviews Neurology 20 (2024): 671-684.
- 14. Karamian A, Farzaneh H, Khoshnoodi M, et al. Diagnostic Accuracy of S100B in Predicting Intracranial Abnormalities on CT Imaging Following Mild Traumatic Brain Injury: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Neurocritical Care 42 (2025):1025-1042.
- 15. Mavroudis I, Petridis F, Ciobica A, et al. Advancements



- in diagnosing Post-concussion Syndrome: insights into epidemiology, pathophysiology, neuropathology, neuroimaging, and salivary biomarkers. Acta Neurologica Belgica 125 (2025): 923-940.
- Zhang H, Wang J, Qu Y, et al. Brain injury biomarkers and application in neurological diseases. Chinese Medical Journal 138 (2025): 5-14.
- 17. Ewing-Cobbs L, Prasad MR, CoxJr CS, et al. Altered stress system reactivity after pediatric injury: relation with post-traumatic stress symptoms. Psychoneuroendocrinology 84 (2017): 66-75.
- 18. Yeung C, Bhatia R, Bhattarai B, et al. Role of salivary Biomarkers in Predicting Significant Traumatic Brain Injury. An Exploratory Study. Pediatric Emergency Care 37 (2021): 1373-1376.
- 19. Fedorchak G, Rangnekar A, Onks C, et al. Saliva RNA biomarkers predict concussion duration and detect symptom recovery: a comparison with balance and cognitive testing. Journal of Neurology 268 (2021): 4349-4361.
- 20. Ebrahimi M, Kakhki BR, Davoudpour B, et al. Examining the Relationship between Salivary Amylase Level, Head Trauma Severity and CT Scan Results in Patients with Isolated Mild Head Trauma. Bulletin of Emergency and Trauma 10 (2022): 59-64.
- 21. Ewing-Cobbs L, Danna CV, Tolar TD, et al. Stress Reactivity After Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury: Relation With Behavioral Adjustment. Journal of Neurotrauma 40 (2023): 1436-1450.
- 22. Hicks SD, Leddy J, Lichak BP, et al. Defining Biological Phenotypes of Mild Traumatic Brain Injury Using saliva MicroRNA Profiles. Journal of Neurotrauma 39 (2022): 923-934.
- 23. Kvist M, Välimaa L, Harel A, et al. Glycans as Potential Diagnostic Markers of Traumatic Brain Injury in Children. Diagnostics 13 (2023): 2181.
- 24. Pareja JCM, de Rivero Vaccari JP, et al. Prognostic and Diagnostic Utility of Serum Biomarkers in Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury. Journal of Neurotrauma 41 (2024): 106-122.
- 25. Ciancaglini R, Botash AS, Armijo-Garcia V, et al. A Pilot Study of Saliva MicroRNA Signatures in Children with Moderate-to-Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. Journal of Clinical Medicine 13 (2024): 5065.
- 26. ChiollazAC, Pouillard V, Spigariol F, et al. Management of Pediatric Mild Traumatic Brain Injury Patients: S100b, Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein, and Heart Fatty-Acid-Binding Protein Promising Biomarkers. Neurotrauma Reports 5 (2024): 529-539.

- 27. Chiollaz AC, Pouillard V, Habre C, et al. Diagnostic potential of IL6 and other blood-based inflammatory biomarkers in mild traumatic brain injury among children. Frontiers in Neurology 15 (2024): 1432217.
- 28. Tabor JB, Penner LC, Galarneau JM, et al. Plasma Biomarkers of Traumatic Brain Injury in Adolescents With Sport-Related Concussion. JAMA Network Open 7 (2024): e2431959.
- 29. Mayer AR, Wick TV, Jessica R, et al. Blood-based biomarkers suggest prolonged axonal Injury following pediatric mild traumatic brain injury. Scientific Reports 15 (2025): 4189.
- 30. Puravet A, Oris C, Pereira B, et al. Serum GFAP and UCH-L1 for the identification of clinically important traumatic brain injury in children in France. The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health 9 (2025): P47-56.
- 31. Beauchamp M. Developmental considerations in the quest for paediatricmTBI biomarkers. The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health 9 (2024): 3-5.
- 32. Manley GT, DamsO'Connor K, et al. Marking a New Age in Characterization of Acute Traumatic Brain Injury: The National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke Traumatic Brain Injury Classification and Nomenclature Initiative. Journal of Neurotrauma 42 (2025): 1021-1022.
- 33. Astrand R, Rosenlund C, Undén J. Scandinavian Guidelines for Initial Management of Minor and Moderate Head Trauma in Children. BMC Medicine 14 (2016): 33.
- 34. GilJardiné C, Payen JF, Bernard R, et al. Management of Patients Suffering from Mild Traumatic Brain Injury 2023. Anaesthesia Critical Care & Pain Medicine 42 (2023): 101260.
- 35. CDC, USA. Safety Guidelines for Pediatric Mild TBI (2024).
- 36. Mavroudis I, Petridis F, Kazis D, et al. The Diagnostic and Prognostic Role of Biomarkers in Mild Traumatic Brain Injury: An Umbrella Meta-Analysis. Brain Sciences 15 (2025): 581.
- 37. Manley GT, Dams-O'Connor k, Alosco ML, et al. A new characterization of acute traumatic brain injury: the NIH-NINOS TBI Classification and Nomenclature Initiative. The Lancet Neurology 24 (2025): 512-523.
- 38. Markovic K, Djuricic G, Milojkovic D, et al. Computed Tomography Findings of Children Under 3 Years of Age with Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) and No Neurological Focal Signs. Journal of Clinical Medicine 14 (2025): 2728.
- 39. Maas AIR, Menon DK, Manley GT, et al. Traumatic brain injury: progress and challenges in prevention, clinical



- care, and research. The Lancet Neurology 21 (2022): 1004-1060.
- 40. Waltzman D, Black LI, Daugherty, et al. Prevalence of traumatic brain injury among adults and children. Annals of Epidemiology 103 (2025): 40-47.
- 41. Huang XF, Ma SF, Jiang XH, et al. Causes and global, regional, and national burdens of traumatic brain injury from 1990 to 2019. Chinese Journal of Traumatology 27 (2024): 311-322.
- 42. Cunningham RM, Walton MA, Carter PM, et al. The Major Causes of Death in Children and Adolescents in the United States. New England Journal of Medicine 379 (2018): 2468-2475.
- 43. Mavroudis I, Kazis D, Petridis FE, et al. The Association Between Traumatic Brain Injury and the Risk of Cognitive Decline: An Umbrella Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Brain Sciences 14 (2024): 1188.
- 44. Ogonah MGT, Botchway S, Yu R, et al. An umbrella review of health outcomes following traumatic brain injury. Nature Mental Health 3 (2025): 83-91.
- 45. Ganeshalingham A, Beca J. Serum biomarkers in severe paediatric traumatic brain injury—a narrative review. Translational Pediatrics 10 (2021): 2720-2737.
- 46. Figaji A. An update on pediatric traumatic brain injury. Child's Nervous System 39 (2023): 3071-3081.
- 47. Lunkova E, Guberman GI, Ptito A, et al. Noninvasive magnetic resonance imaging techniques in mild traumatic brain injury research and diagnosis. Human Brain Mapping 42 (2021): 5477-5494.
- 48. Whitehouse DD, Vile AP, Adatia K, et al. Blood Biomarkers and Structural Imaging Correlations Post-Traumatic Brain Injury: A Systematic Review. Neurosurgery 90 (2022): 171-179.
- 49. Abdeljelil AB, Freire GC, YancharN, et al. Pediatric Moderate and Severe Traumatic Brain Injury: A Systematic Review of Clinical Practice Guideline Recommendations. Journal of Neurotrauma. 40 (2023): 21-22.
- 50. Van Gils A, Stone J, Welch K, et al. Management of mild traumatic brain injury. Practical Neurology 20 (2020): 213-221.
- 51. Christensen J, Eyolfson E, Salberg S, et al. Traumatic brain injury in adolescence: A review of the neurobiological and behavioral underpinnings and outcomes. Developmental Review 59 (2021): 100943.
- 52. Swaney EEK, Cai T, Seal ML, et al. Blood biomarkers of secondary outcomes following concussion: A systematic review. Frontiers of Neurology 14 (2023): 989974.

- 53. Song M, Bai H, Zhang P, et al. Promising applications of human-derived saliva biomarker testing in clinical diagnostics. International Journal of Oral Science 15 (2023): 2.
- 54. Symeou S, Voulgaris S, Alexioo, GA. Traumatic Brain Injury-Associated Biomarkers for Pediatric Patients. Children 12 (2025): 598.
- 55. Khanna SK, Kumar A, Katiyar AK, et al. Clinical profile, management, and outcome of pediatric neurotrauma: a multicentric observational study. Journal of Trauma and Injury 38 (2025): 22-31.
- 56. Di Pietro V, O'HalJoran P, Watson CN, et al. Unique diagnostic signatures of concussion in the saliva of male athletes: the Study of Concussion in Rugby Union through MicroRNAs (SCRUM). British Journal of Sports Medicine 55 (2021): 1395-1404.
- 57. Ghaith HS, Nawar AA, Gabra MD, et al. A literature review of traumatic brain injury biomarkers. Molecular Neurobiology 59 (2022): 4141-4158.
- Porteny J, Tovar E, Lin S, et al. Salivary Biomarkers as Indicators of TBI Diagnosis and Prognosis: A Systematic Review. Molecular Diagnosis and Therapy 26 (2022): 169-187.
- 59. Rostami S, Heidarzadeh F, Fallah S, et al. Diagnostic salivary biomarkers in traumatic brain injury: narrative review. Novelty in Clinical Medicine 1 (2022): 59-69.
- 60. Wilde EA, Wanner IB, Kenney K, et al. A Framework to Advance Biomarker Development in the Diagnosis, Outcome Prediction, and Treatment of Traumatic BrainInjury. Journal Neurotrauma 39 (2022): 436-457.
- 61. KimDS, Kim GW. Biofluid-based Biomarkers in Traumatic Brain Injury: A Narrative Review. Brain & Neurorehabilitation 17 (2024): e8.
- 62. Oris C, Bouillon-Minois JB, Kahouadji S, et al. S100B vs. "GFAP and UCH-L1" assays in the management of mTBI patients. Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine 62 (2024): 891-899.
- 63. Stepniewska E, Kałas M, Swiderska J, et al. Biological Biomarkers as Predictors of Postconcussion Syndrome—Review. Brain Sciences 14 (2024): 513.
- 64. Wei YL, Ren X, Yuan Z, et al. Trauma diagnostic-related target proteins and their detection techniques. Expert Reviews in Molecular Medicine 26 (2024): 1-16.
- 65. Bagg MK, Hellewell SC, Keeves J, et al. The Australian Traumatic Brain Injury Initiative: Systematic Review of Predictive Value of Biological Markers for People With Moderate-Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. Journal of Neurotrauma (2024).



- 66. Mavroudis I, Petridis F, Balmus IM, et al. Review on the Role of Salivary Biomarkers in the Diagnosis of Mild Traumatic Brain Injury and Post-Concussion Syndrome. Diagnostics. 13 (2023): 1367.
- 67. Espourteille J, Barve A, Zufferey V, et al. Astrocyte and mitochondrial footprints in brain-derived extracellular vesicles predict tau pathology (2025).
- 68. Khan NA, Asim M, El-Menyar A, et al. The evolving role of extracellular vesicles (exosomes) as biomarkers in traumatic brain injury: Clinical perspectives and therapeutic implications. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience 14 (2022): 933434.
- 69. Mondello S, Guedes VA, Lai C, et al. Circulating brain injury exosomal proteins following moderate-to-severe traumatic brain injury: temporal profile, outcome prediction and therapy implications. Cells 9 (2020): 977.
- Osti B, Rahman M. Prognostic Cerebrospinal Fluid Biomarkers in Traumatic Brain Injury: An Evolving Frontier. Indian Journal of Neurotrauma 22 (2025): 208-210.
- 71. Wei Y, Wang J, Su Y, et al. Construction and validation of a machine learning based prognostic prediction model for children with traumatic brain injury. Frontiers in Pediatrics 13 (2025): 1581945.
- 72. Martin SP, Leeman-Markowski BA. Proposed mechanisms of tau: relationships to traumatic brain injury, Alzheimer's disease, and epilepsy. Frontiers in Neurology 14 (2024): 1287545.
- 73. Andishgar A, Rismani M, Bazmi S, et al. Developing practical machine learning survival models to identify high-risk patients for in-hospital mortality following traumatic brain injury. Scientific Reports 15 (202): 5913.
- 74. Fletcher-SandersjooA, Sebghati J, Thelin EP. Hemostatic disturbances in traumatic brain injury: from mechanism to management. Acta Neurochirurgica 167 (2025): 146.
- 75. Lohner V, Perna L, Schottker B, et al. Associations of blood-based biomarkers of neurodegenerative diseases with mortality, cardio- and cerebrovascular events in persons with chronic coronary syndrome. Experimental Gerontology 200 (2025): 112684.
- 76. Aldrich G, Evans JE, Davis R, et al. APOE4 and age effect the brain entorhinal cortex structure and blood arachidonic acid and docosahexaenoic acid levels after mTBI. Scientific Reports 14 (2024): 29150.
- 77. Heinsberg LW, Kesbhat A, Petersen B, et al. Differential DNA Methylation of the Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor Gene is Observed after Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury Compared to Orthopedic Injury. medRxiv preprint 06 (2025):16.

- 78. Kim SH, Chae SA. Promising candidate cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers of seizure disorder, infection, inflammation, tumor, and traumatic brain injury in pediatric patients. Clinical and Experimental Pediatrics 65 (2022): 56-64.
- 79. Janigro D, Bailey DM, Lehmann S, et al. Peripheral Blood and Salivary Biomarkers of Blood–Brain Barrier Permeability and Neuronal Damage: Clinical and Applied Concepts. Frontiers in Neurology 11 (2021): 577312.
- 80. Yates AG, Anthony DC, Ruitenberg MJ, et al. Systemic Immune Response to Traumatic CNS Injuries—Are Extracellular Vesicles the Missing Link? Frontiers in Immunology 10 (2019): 2723.
- 81. Barenghi L, Spadari F, Giannì AB, et al. Saliva: challenges, possibilities, and limits of the diagnostic use. Part 1 Anatomical and basic pathophysiological aspects. Biochimica Clinica 46 (2022): 292-300.
- 82. Barenghi L, Spadari F, Giannì AB, et al. Saliva: Challenges, possibilities, and limits of the diagnostic use. Part 2 Preanalytical and analytical aspects. BiochimicaClinica 47 (2023): 012-028.
- 83. García-Carmona L, Martín A, Sempionatto JR, et al. Pacifier Biosensor: Toward Noninvasive Saliva Biomarker Monitoring. Analytical Chemistry 91 (2019): 13883-13891.
- 84. Lim HR, Lee SM, Park S, et al. Smart bioelectronic pacifier for real-time continuous monitoring of salivary electrolytes. Biosensors and Bioelectronics 210 (2022): 114329.
- 85. Bowland GB and Weyrich LS. The Oral-Microbiome-Brain Axis and Neuropsychiatric Disorders: An Anthropological Perspective. Frontiers in Psychiatry 13 (2022): 810008.
- 86. Ceasar J, Saravanan DP, Harding BA, et al. Association of Longitudinal Oral Microbiome Activity and Pediatric Concussion Recovery. Microorganisms 13 (2025): 320.
- 87. Yan W, Apweiler R, Balgley BM, et al. Systematic comparison of the human saliva and plasma proteomes. Proteomics Clinical Applications 3 (2009): 116-134.
- 88. Wang J, Liang Y, Wang Y, et al. Computational prediction of human salivary proteins from blood circulation and application to diagnostic biomarker identification. PLoSONE. 11 (2013): e80211.
- 89. Sun Y, Du W, Zhou C, et al. A computational method for prediction of saliva-secretory proteins and its application to identification of head and neck cancer biomarkers for salivary diagnosis. IEEE Transactions on Nanobioscience 14 (2015): 167-174.
- 90. Freeman LM, Bothwell S, Pazniokas J, et al. The pediatric



- Brain Injury Guidelines: a retrospective clinical validation study. J of Neurosurgery: Pediatrics 35 (2025): 214-222.
- 91. Rivero R, Curran IL, Hellmann Z, et al. Unnecessary Scans Lead to Unnecessary Re-scans: Evaluating Clinical Management of Low and Intermediate Risk Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injuries. Journal of Pediatric Surgery 60 (2025): 162097.
- 92. Edalatfar M, Pirilt SM, Mehrabinejad MM, et al. Biofluid Biomarkers in Traumatic Brain Injury: A Systematic Scoping Review. Neurocritical Care 35 (2021): 559-572.
- 93. Gan ZS, Stein SC, Swanson R, et al. Blood Biomarkers for Traumatic Brain Injury: A Quantitative Assessment of Diagnostic and Prognostic Accuracy. Frontiers in Neurology 10 (2019): 446.
- 94. Oris C, Pereira B, Durif J, et al. The Biomarker S100B and Mild Traumatic Brain Injury: A Meta-analysis. Pediatrics 141 (2018): e20180037.
- 95. Oris C, Khatib-Chahidi C, Pereira B, et al. Comparison of GFAP and UCH-L1 Measurements Using Two Automated Immunoassays (i-STAT® and Alinity®) for the Management of Patients with Mild Traumatic Brain Injury: Preliminary Results from a French Single-Center Approach. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 25 (2024): 4539.
- 96. Oris C, Kahouadji S, Bouvier D, et al. Blood Biomarkers for the Management of Mild Traumatic Brain Injury in Clinical Practice. Clinical Chemistry 70 (2024): 1023-1036.
- 97. GeneCards®: The Human Gene Database (2025).
- 98. Hicks C, Dhiman A, Barrymore C, et al. Traumatic Brain Injury Biomarkers, Simulations and Kinetics. Bioengineering 9 (2022): 612.
- 99. Gayger-Dias V, Vizuete AFK, Rodrigues L, et al.How S100B crosses brain barriers and why it is considered a peripheral marker of brain injury. Experimental Biology and Medicine 248 (2023): 2109-2119.
- 100. Barmpagiannos K, Lazaridis N, Apostolopoulou A, et al. Biomarkers of Acute Brain Injury. Neuroglia 5 (2024): 356-369.
- 101. Brophy GM, Mondello S, Papa L, et al. Biokinetic Analysis of Ubiquitin C-Terminal Hydrolase-L1 UCH-L1 in Severe Traumatic Brain Injury Patient Biofluids. Journal of Neurotrauma. 28 (2011): 861-870.
- 102. Garib BT, Hamied MAM, Mahmood MK. Co-expression of BubR1 and UCHL1 in salivary gland tumors. Sulaimani Dental Journal 5 (2018): 1-15.
- 103. Daneva E, Makris K, Korompeli A, et al. Saliva cortisol levels and physiological parameter fluctuations in mild

- traumatic brain injury patients compared to controls. International Journal of Neuroscience 133 (2021): 612-620.
- 104. Kusov PA, Kotelevtsev YV, Drachev VP. Cortisol Monitoring Devices toward Implementation for Clinically Relevant Biosensing In Vivo. Molecules 28 (2023): 2353.
- 105. Boucher P, Plusquellec P. Acute Stress Assessment From Excess Cortisol Secretion: Fundamentals and Perspectives. Frontiers in Endocrinology (Lausanne) 10 (2019): 749.
- 106. Parenrengi MA, Suryaningtyas W, Dariansyah AD, et al. Utility of systemic immune-inflammation index, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio as a predictive biomarker in pediatric traumatic brain injury. Surgical Neurology International 15 (2024): 456.
- 107. Bouvier D, Castellani C, Fournier M, et al. Reference ranges for serum S100B protein during the first three years of life. Clinical Biochemistry 44 (2011): 927-929.
- 108. Bouvier D, Duret T, Rouzaire P, et al. Preanalytical, analytical, gestational and pediatric aspects of the S100B immuno-assays. Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine 54 (2016): 833-842.
- 109. Simon-Pimmel J, Lorton F, Masson D, et al.Reference ranges for serum S100B neuroprotein specific to infants under four months of age. Clinical Biochemistry 50 (2017): 1056-1060.
- 110. Kilinc YB, Dagistan Y, Kilinc E. Plasma levels of biomarkers associated with vasodilation and neuroinflammation in pediatric patients with head trauma and their relationship with clinical characteristics of patients. Child's Nervous System 41 (2025): 224.
- 111. Chiollaz A-C, Pouillard V, Seiler M, et al. IL6 in Combination with Either NfL,NTproBNP, or GFAP Can Safely Rule Out Intracranial Injuries in Children with Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (2025).
- 112. Lefevre-Dognin C, Cogné M, Perdrieau V, et al. Definition and epidemiology of mild traumatic brain injury. Neurochirurgie 67 (2021): 218-221.
- 113. Newcombe V, Richter S, Whitehouse DP, et al. Fluid biomarkers and neuroimaging in mild traumatic brain injury: current uses and potential future directions for clinical use in emergency medicine. Emergency Medicine Journal 40 (2023): 671-677.
- 114. Yu N, Castillo J, Kohler JE, et al. Validating the Brain Injury Guidelines in a Pediatric Population with Mild Traumatic Brain Injury and Intracranial Injury at a Level I Trauma Center. Journal of Neurotrauma42 (2025): 71-



81.

- 115. Kay AB, Glasgow SL, Kahan AM, et al. Small Change, BIG Impact: Proposal of the Brain Injury Guidelines for kids (kBIG). Journal of Pediatric Surgery 60 (2025): 162372.
- 116. Freeman, LM, Mecum A, Cripps MW, et al. The modified brain injury guidelines: safe, sensitive, but not yet specific. Journal of Neurosurgery x (2025): 1-10.
- 117. Karamian A, Farzaneh H, Khoshoodi M, et al. Accuracy of GFAP and UCH-L1 in predicting brain abnormalities on CT scans after mild traumatic brain injury: a systematic review andmeta-analysis. European Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery 51 (2025): 68.
- 118. GeneCardsdata base. S100B (2025).
- 119. GeneCardsdata base (2025).
- 120. Yang Y, Lu D, Wang M, et al. Endoplasmic reticulum stress and the unfolded protein response: emerging regulators in progression of traumatic brain injury. Cell Death &Disease 15 (2024): 156.
- 121. Kim HJ, Tsao JW, Stanfill AG. The current state of biomarkers of mild traumatic brain injury. JCI Insight 3 (2018): e97105.
- 122. Peters AJ, Schnell E, Saugstad JA, et al. Longitudinal Course of Traumatic Brain Injury Biomarkers for the Prediction of Clinical outcomes: A Review. Journal of Neurotrauma 38 (2021): 2490-2501.
- 123. Shah SS, Shetty AJ, Johnston DT, et al. Implications and pathophysiology of neuroinflammation in pediatric patients with traumatic brain injury: an updated review. Frontiers in Neuroscience 19 (2025): 1587222.
- 124. Morgan AT, Dodrill P, Ward EC. Interventions for oropharyngeal dysphagia in children with neurological impairment. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 10 (2012): CD009456.
- 125. Shim GY, Oh JS, Han S, et al. Correlation of Video fluoroscopic Swallowing Study Findings With Radionuclide Salivagram in Chronic Brain-Injured Patients. Annals of Rehabilitation Medicine 45 (2021): 108-115.
- 126. Zhang L, Cui L. Application of swallowing-feeding management combined with transcranial electrical stimulation in patients with mild craniocerebral trauma with dysphagia. World Neurosurgery 192 (2024): e341-e349.
- 127. Popescu CM, Marina V, MunteanuA, et al. Acute Computer Tomography Findings in Pediatric Accidental Head Trauma-Review. Pediatric Health, Medicine and Therapeutics 15 (2024): 231-241.

- 128. Goodman GW, Devlin P, West BE, et al. The emerging importance of skull-brain interactions in traumatic brain injury. Frontiers in Immunology 15 (2024): 1353513.
- 129. Bourke N, Demarchi C, De Simoni S, et al. Brain volume abnormalities and clinical outcomes following paediatric traumatic brain injury. Brain 145 (2022): 2920-2934.
- 130. Huckhagel A, Riedel C, Rohde V, et al. Cranial nerve injuries in patients with moderate to severe head trauma Analysis of 91,196 patients from the Trauma Register DGU® between 2008 and 2017 T. Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery 212 (2022): 107089.
- 131. Proctor GB, Shaalan AM. Disease-Induced Changes in Salivary Gland Function and the Composition of Saliva. Journal of Dental Research 100 (2021): 1201-1209.
- 132. Fullerton JL, Hay J, Bryant-Craig C, et al. Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury and Microvascular Blood-Brain Barrier Pathology. JAMA Network Open 7 (2024): e2446767.
- 133. Majem B, Rigau M, Reventos J, et al. Noncoding RNAs in saliva: emerging biomarkers for molecular diagnostics. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 16 (2015): 8676-8698.
- 134. Bahn JH, Zhang Q, Li F, et al. The landscape of microRNA, Piwi interacting RNA, and circular RNA in human saliva. Clinical Chemistry 61 (2015): 21-230.
- 135. Nonaka T, Wong DTW. Saliva-Exosomics in Cancer: Molecular Characterization of Cancer-Derived Exosomes in Saliva. Enzymes 42 (2017): 125-151.
- 136. Plog BA, Dashnaw ML, Hitomi E,et al. Biomarkers of traumatic injury are transported from brain to blood via the glymphatic system. Journal of. Neuroscience 35 (2015): 518-526.
- 137. Li X, Lin Z, Liu C, et al. Glymphatic Imaging in Pediatrics. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging 59 (2024): 1523-1541.
- 138. Nwafor DC, Brichacek AL, Foster CH, et al. Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury: An Update on Preclinical Models, Clinical Biomarkers, and the Implications of Cerebrovascular Dysfunction. Journal of Central Nervous SystrmDisisease 14 (2022): 1-19.
- 139. Evanson NK, Veldhi P, Scherpenberg C, et al. Extracranial Effects of Traumatic Brain Injury: A Narrative Review. Clinics and Practice 15 (2025): 47.
- 140. Zapata-Acevedo JF, Mantilla-Galindo A, Vargas-Sánchez K, et al. Blood-brain barrier biomarkers. Advances in Clinical Chemistry 121 (2024): 1-88.
- 141. Pin E, Petricoin EF, Cortes N, et al. Immunoglobulin



- A Autoreactivity toward Brain Enriched and Apoptosis-Regulating Proteins in Saliva of Athletes after Acute Concussion and Subconcussive Impacts. Journal of Neurotrauma 38 (2021): 2373-2383.
- 142. Ibrahim O, Sutherland HG, Maksemous N, et al. Exploring neuronal vulnerability to head trauma using a whole exome approach. Journal of Neurotrauma 37 (2020): 1870-1879.
- 143. Sorokina EG, Semenova ZB, Averianova N. Polymorphism of the APOE Gene and Markers of Brain Damage in the Outcomes of Severe Traumatic Brain Injury in Children. Neuroscience and Behavioral Physiology 51 (2021): 28-35.
- 144. Kassam I, Gagnon F, Cusimano MD. Association of the APOE-ε4 allele with outcome of traumatic brain injury in children and youth: a meta-analysis and meta-regression. Journal of Neuroogy, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry 87 (2016): 433-440.
- 145. Vlachos N, Lampros MG, Lianos GD, et al. Coagulopathy Occurrence in Patients with Traumatic Brain Injury: A Systematic Review. Biomarkers in Medicine 16 (2022): 935-945.
- 146. Kokkoris S, Vrettou CS, Lotsios NS, et al. Aquaporins in Acute Brain Injury: Insights from Clinical and Experimental Studies. Biomedicines 13 (2025): 1406.
- 147. Malik S, Alnaji O, Malik M, et al. Inflammatory cytokines associated with mild traumatic brain injury and clinical outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Frontiers in Neurology 14 (2023): 1123407.
- 148. Mavroudis I, Ciobica A, Balmus, IM, et al. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Inflammatory Biomarkers in Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. Biomedicines 12 (2024): 293.
- 149. Meier T, Guedes VA, Smith EG. Extracellular vesicle-associated cytokines in sport-related concussion. Brain Behavior and Immunity 100 (2022): 83-87.
- 150. Zhu P, Hussein NM, Tang J, et al. Prediction of Early Mortality Among Children With Moderate or Severe Traumatic Brain Injury Based on a Nomogram Integrating Radiological and Inflammation-Based Biomarkers. Frontiers in Neurology 20 (2022): 865084.
- 151. Hicks SD, Johnson J, Carney MC, et al. Overlapping microRNA expression in saliva and cerebrospinal fluid accurately identifies pediatric traumatic brain injury. Journal of Neurotrauma 35 (2018): 64-72.
- 152. Miller KE, MacDonald JP, Sullivan L, et al. Salivary miRNA Expression in Children With Persistent Postconcussive Symptoms. Frontiers in Public Health 10 (2022): 890420.

- 153. Tabor J, La PL, Kline GA, et al. Saliva cortisol as a biomarker of injury in youth sport-related concussion. Journal of Neurotrauma 40 (2023): 296-308.
- 154. Hicks SD, Onks C, Kim RY, et al. Refinement of saliva microRNA biomarkers for sports-related concussion. Journal of Sport and Health Science 12 (2023): 369-378.
- 155. Monroe DC, Thomas EA, Cecchi NJ, et al. Salivary S100 calcium-binding protein beta (S100B) and neurofilament light (NfL) after acute exposure to repeated head impacts in collegiate water polo players. Scientific Reports 12 (2022): 3439.
- 156. Kim HD, Karna S, Shin YJ, et al. S100A8 and S100A9 in saliva, blood and gingival crevicular fluid for screening established periodontitis: a cross-sectional study. BMC Oral Health 21 (2021): 388.
- 157. Silva-Boghossian CM, Colombo APV, Tanaka M, et al. Quantitative proteomic analysis of gingival crevicular fluids in different periodontal conditions. PLoS One 8 (2013): e75898.
- 158. Liao Y, Chen HW, Qiu C, et al. Detection of Amyloid-β Peptides in Gingival Crevicular Fluid and Its Effect on Oral Pathogens. Molecular Oral Microbiology 40 (2025): 94-103.
- 159. Martínez-García M and Hernández-Lemus E. Periodontal Inflammation and Systemic Diseases: An Overview. Frontiers in Physiology 12 (2021): 709438.
- 160. Ramenzoni LL, Lehner MP, Kaufman ME, et al. Oral Diagnostic Methods for the Detection of Periodontal Disease. Diagnostics (Basel) 11 (2021): 571.
- 161. Ahmad P, Siqueira WL, Marin LM, et al. Progression from healthy periodontium to gingivitis and periodontitis: Insights from bioinformatics-driven proteomics A systematic review with meta-analysis. Journal of Periodontal Research 60 (2024): 8-29.
- 162. Rinderknecht C, Filippi C, Ritz N, et al. Associations between salivary cytokines and oral health, age, and sex in healthy children. Scientific Reports 12 (2022): 15991.
- 163. Park NJ, Li Y, Yu T, et al. Characterization of RNA in Saliva. Clinical Chemistry 52 (2006): 988–994.
- 164. Mao L, Liu S, Hu L, et al. miR-30 Family: A Promising Regulator in Development and Disease. BioMed Research International 2018 (2018): 9623412.
- 165. Zhang T, Zhu X, Sun Q, et al. Identification and confirmation of the miR-30 Family as a Potential Central Player in Tobacco-Related Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Frontiers in Oncology 11 (2021): 616372.
- 166. Johnson JJ, Loeffert AC, Stokes J, et al. Association of



- salivary microRNA changes with prolonged concussion symptoms. JAMA Pediatrics 172 (2018): 65-73.
- 167. Srinivasan S, Jeyakumar J, Devendiran M, et al. Salivary Biomarkers in the Diagnosis of Oral Diseases. Oral & Maxillofacial Pathoogyl Journal 15 (2024): 91-98.
- 168. Forcella L, Filippi C, Waltimo T, et al. Measurement of unstimulated salivary flow rate in healthy children aged 6 to 15 years. Swiss Dental Journal 128 (2018): 962-967.
- 169. Hatipoğlu Ö, Maraş E, Hatipoğlu FP, et al. Salivary Flow Rate, pH, and Buffer Capacity in the Individuals with Obesity and Overweight; A Meta Analysis. Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice 25 (2022): 1126-1142.
- 170. Wu Y, Lan Y, Mao J, et al. The interaction between the nervous system and the stomatognathic system: from development to diseases. International Journal of Oral Science 15 (2023): 34.
- 171. Berweck S, Bonikowski M, Kim H, et al. Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial of Incobotulinumtoxin A for Sialorrhea in Children-SIPEXI. Neurology 97 (2021): e1425-e1436.
- 172. Hast MA, Kong AM, Abdelhadi J, et al. Real- World observational analysis of clinical characteristics and treatment patterns of patients with chronic sialorrhea. Toxins 16 (2024): 366.
- 173. Wolff A, Joshi RK, Ekstrom J, et al. A Guide to Medications Inducing Salivary Gland Dysfunction, Xerostomia, and Subjective Sialorrhea: A Systematic Review Sponsored by the World Workshop on Oral Medicine VI. Drugs R D. 17 (2017): 1-28.
- 174. Roche Diagnostic GmbH. ELECSYS S100. IFU (ref 08817324190) (2023).
- 175. DiaSorin Italia SpA. LIAISON S100. IFU (ref 314701): IT-14 (2022).
- 176. Abbot Point of Care. Cartucciai-STAT TBI Plasma. IFI (2022).
- 177. Wagner K, Unger L, Salman MM, et al. Signaling Mechanisms and Pharmacological Modulators Governing Diverse Aquaporin Functions in Human Health and Disease. International Journal of Molecular Science 23 (2022): 1388.
- 178. Murcko R, Marchi N, Bailey D, et al. Diagnostic biomarker kinetics: How brain-derived biomarkers distribute through the human body, and how this affects their diagnostic significance: The case of \$100B. Fluids and Barriers of the CNS 19 (2022): 32.
- 179. Saitou M, Gaylord EA, Xu E, et al. Functional Specialization of Human Salivary Glands and Origins

- of Proteins Intrinsic to Human Saliva. Cell Reports 33 (2020): 108402.
- 180. Cong X, Mao XD, LiLing et al. The role and mechanism of tight junctions in the regulation of salivary gland secretion. Oral Diseases 30 (2024): 3-22.
- 181. Sisto M, Lorusso L, Ingravallo G, et al. Abnormal distribution of AQP4 in minor salivary glands of primary Sjögren's syndrome patients. Autoimmunity 50 (2017): 202-210.
- 182. Qi W, Tian J, Wang G, et al. Advances in cellular and molecular pathways of salivary gland damage in Sjögren's syndrome. Frontiers in Immunology 15 (2024): 1405126.
- 183. Antequera D, Carrero L, Cunha Alves V, et al. Differentially Aquaporin 5 Expression in Submandibular Glands and Cerebral Cortex in Alzheimer's Disease. Biomedicines 10 (2022): 1645.
- 184. Yang M, Gao F, Liu H, et al. Temporal changes in expression of aquaporin 3, -4, -5 and -8 in rat brains after permanent focal cerebral ischemia. Brain Research 1290 (2009): 121-132.
- 185. Westermair AL, Munz M, Scaich A, et al. Association of genetic variation at AQP4 locus with vascular depression. Biomolecules 8 (2018): 164.
- 186. Sabaie H, Moghaddam MM, Amirinejad N, et al. Long non-coding RNA-associated competing endogenous RNA axes in the olfactory epithelium in schizophrenia: a bioinformatics analysis. Scientific Reports 11 (2024): 24497.
- 187. McNamara CR, Even KM, Kalinowski A,et al. Multiorgan Dysfunction Syndrome in Abusive and Accidental Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury. Neurocritical Care 40 (2024): 1099-1108.
- 188. KohlhaseK, FrankF, Wilmes C, et al. Brain-Specific Biomarkers in Urine as a Non-Invasive Approach to Monitor Neuronal and Glial Damage. European Journal of Neurology 30 (2023): 729-740.
- 189. Lawrence MG, Altenburg MK, Sanford R, et al. Permeation of Macromolecules into the Renal Glomerular Basement Membrane and Capture by the Tubules. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 114 (2017): 2958-2963.
- 190. Marchetti E, Pizzolante T, Americo LM, et al. Periodontology Part 4: Periodontal disease in children and adolescents. European Journal of Pediatric Dentistry 23 (2022): 332-335.
- 191. Alharbi SG, Almushayt AS, Bamashmous S, et al. The oral microbiome of children in health and disease—a



- literature review. Frontiers in Oral Health 5 (2024): 1477004.
- 192. Lei S, Li J, Yu J, et al. Porphyromonas gingivalis bacteremia increases the permeability of the blood-brain barrier via the Mfsd2a/Caveolin-1 mediated transcytosis pathway. International Journal of Oral Science 15 (2023): 3.
- 193. Di Spirito F, Pisano M, Caggiano M, et al. Human Herpes viruses, Bacteria, and Fungii in Gingivitis and Periodontitis Pediatric Subjects: A Systematic Review. Children (Basel). 12 (2024): 39.
- 194. Chen WA, Dou Y, Fletcher HM, et al. Local and Systemic Effects of Porphyromonas gingivalis Infection. Microorganisms 11 (2023): 470.
- 195. Municio C, Carro E. Aquaporin 5 in Alzheimer's disease: a link between oral and brain pathology? Neural Regeneration Research 18 (2022): 1491-1492.
- 196. Vaughn MN, Winston CN, Levin N, et al. Developing Biomarkers of Mild Traumatic Brain Injury: Promise and Progress of CNS-Derived Exosomes. Frontiers in Neurology 12 (2022): 698206.
- 197. Li XX, Yang LX, Wang C, et al. The Roles of Exosomal

- Proteins: Classification, Function, and Applications. International Journal of Molecular Science 24 (2023): 3061.
- 198. Wang J, Jing J, Zhou C, et al. Emerging roles of exosomes in oral diseases progression. International Journal of Oral Science 16 (2024): 4.
- 199. Xu X, Iqbal Z, Xu L, et al. Brain-derived extracellular vesicles: potential diagnostic biomarkers for central nervous system diseases. Phychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences 78 (2024): 83-96.
- 200. Wu J, Liu G, Jia R, et al. Salivary Extracellular Vesicles: Biomarkers and Beyond in Human Diseases. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 24 (2023): 17328.
- 201. Urbizu A, Arnaldo L, Beyer K Obtaining miRNA from Saliva- Comparison of Sampling and Purification Methods. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 24 (2023): 2386.
- 202. Holmes SA, Mari, J, Lemme J, et al. Evidence of Chronic Complement Activation in Asymptomatic Pediatric Brain Injury Patients: A Pilot Study. Children (Basel) 10 (2022): 45.



This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license 4.0



#### **List of Tables**

| Table  | Legenda   |
|--------|---|
| Tab. 1 | Summary of the PICO framework applied.  |
| Tab. 2 | Main characteristics of selected biomarkers for TBI (16,30,57,62,65,79,94-105).   |
| Tab. 3 | Recent evidence published on pTBlusing serum biomarkers (6,9-11,24,26-30,71,110-111).   |
| Tab. 4 | Main recommendations using biomarker-based risk stratification of TBI to minimize unnecessary CT scans (36,37,96).                      |
| Tab. 5 | Recent evidence published on mTBI and pTBI using salivary biomarkers (5,12,15,17, 19-23,25,152,153).                                    |
| Tab. 6 | Additional biological and physiological factors influencing salivary biomarker interpretation (82,86,95,96,99,122,137,139,174,177-195). |

### List of Supplementary Materials

| Supplementary material | Legenda   |
|------------------------|---|
| S1                     | Further data on epidemiology of paediatric TBI (pTBI)   |
| S2a<br>S2b             | Further data on brain injuries in the paediatric population Further data on brain injuries in adults  |
| S3                     | Further data on diagnostic test performance in identifying clinically important TBI in children and adults  |
| S4                     | TBI in animal models: from blood-based biomarkers to neurodevelopment alteration  |
| S5                     | The challenge of using biomarkers in mTBI in humans   |
| S6                     | Data on adult TBI (aTBI) using saliva   |
| <b>S</b> 7             | Key features of a brain protein used as a peripheral biomarker for TBI. Features were adapted to salivary test, with the following considerations regarding its biological characteristics (A) and the preanalytical and analytical requirements (B). |
| S8                     | Comparative analytical data:repeatability and reproducibility   |
| S9                     | Transcriptome data of 8 genes known to be involved in pTBI for each of the 3 major salivary gland types   |

### **Supplementary file:**

https://cdn.fortunejournals.com/supply/DROH13502-2nd-version-supplementary-materials-S1-S9.pdf