

Research Article

Impact of Transition from Conventional Open Radical Cystectomy to Laparoscopic Radical Cystectomy for Neobladder: A Retrospective Study

Rupesh Shah^{1,2*}, Amit Trivedi², Ketan Rajyaguru², Parloop Bhatt¹

Received: 03 June 2022; Accepted: 17 June 2022; Published: 21 June 2022

Citation: Rupesh Shah, Amit Trivedi, Ketan Rajyaguru, Parloop Bhatt. Impact of Transition from Conventional Open Radical Cystectomy to Laparoscopic Radical Cystectomy for Neobladder: A Retrospective Study. Journal of Surgery and Research 5 (2022): 352-360.

Abstract

Background

Early operative recovery and good Quality of life are important goals of radical cystectomy. We compare the pre, peri and post—operative data between Open radical cystectomy (ORC) and Laparoscopic radical cystectomy (LRC) surgery of neobladder.

Materials and Methods

Retrospective analysis of 13 male consecutive patients who underwent radical cystectomy by a single surgeon was done. Diagnosis of all patients was of invasive bladder cancer. Abdominal and preoperative staging was done using computed tomography. None of them received neoadjuvant chemotherapy. All the patients

received same standard template bilateral pelvic lympadenenectomy. The urinary diversion included orthotopic neobladder. All patients were consented prior to study participation.

Results

Of the 13 male patients, six had ORC with neobladder while 7 underwent LRC surgery. Baseline characteristics (age, BMI, comorbidities, tumour grade, lymph node status) were similar in both groups. Incision length was significantly smaller in LRC as compared to ORC group (p <0.0001). Although the operative time was longer in LRC group as compared to ORC it was sufficed by reduced time for analgesics, shorter hospital stay (p<0.05), besides earlier time to

¹Care Institute of Medical Sciences, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

²AMC MET Medical College, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

^{*}Corresponding Author: Dr. Rupesh Shah, Care Institute of Medical Sciences, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

liquid intake with immediate removal of nasogastric tube (p<0.001). No major complications were observed in the LRC unlike ORC group where one patient died at 30 days.

Conclusions

Although a small study sample, our analysis confirmed that LRC with minimal incisions has additional benefits of less pain, less complications, shorter recovery time, without compromising early oncological outcomes. Transition of surgeon from ORC to LRC was advantageous to patients.

Keywords: Orthotropic Neobladder; Laparoscopic Radical Cystectomy; Urinary Diversion; Outcomes

1. Introduction

Traditionally, Open Radical Cystectomy (ORC) is considered as the gold standard for treatment of localized muscle-invasive, bladder cancer [1]. Despite a better understanding of pelvic anatomy and improved surgical techniques, ORC is associated with significant perioperative complications like intraoperative blood loss even when performed by experienced surgeons [2-8]. With the advancement of laparoscopic equipment and skill of urologists, laparoscopic radical cystectomy (LRC)/Robotic assisted radical cystectomy (RARC) stands as an alternative to ORC [9,10]. LRC leads to faster recovery, reduced hospital stay and morbidity, prompt return to routine activities, maintaining functional and oncological outcomes similar to ORC [11-13]. The prolonged LRC operative time is due to the urinary diversion rather than removal of the bladder [14]. To date, urinary diversion can be performed by 2 approaches i) intracorporeal and ii) extracorporeal approach for either ileal conduit or neobladder formation [14]. However, extracorporeal

diversion is preferred since it helps reduce the operative time with comparable intracorporeal postoperative results [15]. A study reported neobladder formation 2.5 times more prevalent than conduit formation (130 conduits: 315 neobladders) [16]. This manuscript reports the upgradation journey of surgical and scientific skills of the urology team in the last decade resulting in switch over from ORC to LRC; maneuvering from ileal conduit to neobladder diversion at a single center in a developing nation. This case series is a comparative analysis of ORC versus LRC of neobladder diversion in a similar set of patients establishing the superiority of LRC over ORC.

2. Materials and Methods

Total 55 radical cystectomies (RC) were performed at a tertiary referral hospital in Western India from June 2018 to January 2021. Forty-two who underwent ileal conduit (including women) were excluded from the study. We retrospectively analyzed 13 male who underwent consecutive patients radical cystectomy by a single surgeon. All patients had invasive bladder cancer. Abdominal and preoperative staging was done using computed tomography (CT). The possible benefits and drawbacks of LRC and ORC were explained and the patients could choose either open or laparoscopic surgery. Six patients choose traditional ORC while 7 opted for laparoscopic approach. None of them received neoadjuvant chemotherapy. All patients received the same standard bilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy template. The urinary diversion included the orthotopic neobladder. All patients gave a written consent for participation in the study.

2.1 Surgical Technique

2.1.1 Laparoscopic Assisted Radical Cystectomy: Following general anesthesia, patient was placed in a

low-lithotomy position. A five-port trans peritoneal approach was used. The first 10-mm camera trocar was placed at the umbilicus using an open technique. After a pneumoperitoneum was established, two 10-mm working ports were placed (right port kept above line between ASIS and umbilicus Para rectal near the midline, left port midway between anterior superior iliac spine (ASIS) and umbilicus). Two 5 mm port were kept just above ASIS on each side. Steep trendelenburg position was given, and bowel was reflected up. Both the ureters were isolated till the level of the bladder and Hem-o-lok clips were used for ligation. The bladder was then dissected from adjacent tissues and the bladder pedicles were divided with ligasure. Bladder was dropped down and anteriorly space of Retzius was entered, B/L pubo prostate ligament were divided, endopelvic fascia was incised and deep venous complex was ligated with a V lock (3-0). Urethra was transected and frozen section was sent as and when needed to avoid positive surgical (PSM) margin. B/L pelvic lymph node dissection was done. Through small (around 7-8 cm) lower midline incision specimen was delivered out, orthotopic ileal neobladder was prepared by Studer technique [17]. Energy source utilized was ligasure, bipolar cauterized as and when required.

2.1.2 Open Radical Cystectomy: Open radical cystectomy was done through standard lower midline incision (20-22 cm) extending just above the umbilical and orthotopic ileal neobladder was prepared. In both groups suprapubic catheter (SPC) used was 14 F while per urethral catheter (PUC) was 20 F. SPC and PUC were removed accordingly on 14th and 21st post-operative day (POD). DJ stent for ureteroileal anastomosis was placed, which was removed at 6 weeks.

2.1.3 Pre- and Peri- operative Management: Preoperatively, all patients received liquid diet 24 hours prior to surgery. No mechanical or antibiotic bowel preparations were given to any patients. single-dose Prophylactic second-generation cephalosporin was given at induction along with metronidazole before opening of the bowel. If the duration of the procedure was greater than 4 hours, use of antibiotics was repeated. To none of the patient low molecular weight heparin was given prophylactically. Removal of nasogastric tube and oral liquid intake was initiated as per patient's recovery. Prokinetic (lesuride) was given to enhance bowel mobility. Patient characteristics including age, body mass index (BMI) and co-morbidities were assessed. Peri-operative measures were compared including estimated blood loss, operative time and transfusion needed. Hemoglobin (Hb) and serum creatinine (SCR) were investigated pre and post-surgery. Post-operative parameters included- time to liquid intake, time to removal of nasogastric tube, average length of hospital stay, days of use of analgesics and post-operative complications. Oncological parameters included tumor stage, lymph node status and lymph node yield.

2.2 Statistical analysis

and 30 days' post discharge.

Categorical variables are expressed as number and percentage, with comparison between groups using Pearson Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests. Continuous variables were expressed as mean and range with comparison between groups using Manne Whitney test. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 19. The values are compared at 95% confidence interval (CI) where, p <0.05 was considered statistically significant difference.

Survival analysis was performed at hospital discharge

3. Results

Of the total 13 RC male patients, six underwent ORC while seven underwent LRC with neobladder diversion. Table 1 depicts the patients' demographic data. The mean age was 59.1 years in the LRC group and 53.3 years in the ORC group. There were no significant differences in age, BMI, Hb, SCR and co morbidities between the two groups.

3.1 Pathological features

Table 2 presents the pathological features. Tumor stage, grade, lymph node status between the two groups were similar (p> 0.05). Number of lymph node dissected was comparably less in the LRC group (12.28 vs. 19.16).

| Characteristics | ORC group (n= 6) | LRC group (n= 7) | p value | 95% CI | |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|--|
| Age (years) | 53.3 (29-69) | 59.1 (52-65) | 0.3526 | -7.35% to -18.95% | |
| BMI (kg/m2) | 23.05 (20.6-25.6) | 24.18 (19.5-29.5) | 0.5422 | -2.82% to -5.08% | |
| Hb (g/L) | 10.6 (7.1-13.9) | 12.22 (10.8-14.9) | 0.1745 | -0.83% to -4.07% | |
| SCR (umol/L) | 1.08 (0.67-1.37) | 1.15 (0.79-1.87) | 0.7304 | -0.36% to -0.50% | |
| Comorbid Conditions | | | | | |
| Hypertension | 1 (16.7) | 4 (57.14) | 0.1512 | -10.59% to 70.74% | |
| Diabetes mellitus | 1(16.7) | 1 (14.28) | 0.9078 | -37.05% to 43.79% | |
| Hypothyroidism | 0 | 1 (14.28) | 0.3546 | -26.47% to 51.30% | |
| COPD | 0 | 0 | | | |

Data are presented as n, n (%) or mean range; BMI= Body mass index, Hb= Hemoglobin, SCR= Serum creatinine

Table 1: Baseline Characteristics

| Pathological factors | ORC group (n= 6) | LRC group (n=7) | p value | 95% CI | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|-------------------|--|
| Tumor stage | | | | | |
| T0, Ta, Tis, T1 | 1(16.7) | 1 (14.28) | 0.9078 | -37.05% to 43.79% | |
| T2 | 2 (33.3) | 3 (42.85) | 0.7346 | -36.01% to 49.41% | |
| Т3 | 2 (33.3) | 1 (14.28) | 0.4355 | -24.90% to 57.52% | |
| T4 | 1(16.7) | 2 (28.57) | 0.6267 | -32.72% to 49.94% | |
| Lymph node status | | | | | |
| Negative | 4 (66.66) | 5 (71.42) | 0.8587 | -37.92% to 46.69% | |
| Positive | 2 (33.3) | 2 (28.57) | 0.8595 | -37.94% to 46.67% | |
| Dissected Lymph node number | 19.16 ± 2.48 | 12.28 ± 4.49 | 0.0067 | -11.42% to 2.33% | |
| Positive Surgical Margin | 0 | 0 | - | - | |

Data are presented as n, n (%) or mean \pm SD.

Table 2: Pathological features

3.2 Operative and Postoperative Characteristics and Management

Table 3 depicts operative and postoperative characteristics. Incision length was significantly smaller in LRC patients as compared to ORC group $(20.83 \pm 0.75 \text{ vs } 7.14 \pm 0.37 \text{ cm}, P < 0.0001)$. Figures 1

and 2 are schematic representations of each group depicting incision length. Also blood loss in LRC group was significantly less as compared to ORC group (P <0.0001). Requirement of blood transfusion was nearly similar in either groups. However, operating time was significantly longer in the LRC

group as compared to ORC group (384.16 \pm 47.68 vs. 522.14 \pm 45.63 min). Hb and SCR levels were not affected by the type of surgery. Patients in LRC group had earlier liquid intake as compared to ORC group. Naso-gastric tube was immediately removed post operatively in LRC group, while the removal of the tube in ORC group was at 4.83 days. Patients with

LRC had significantly shorter hospital stay as compared to ORC patients (9 \pm 1.26 vs. 6.14 \pm 0.89 days). Patients undergoing LRC had pain for longer duration post operatively and thus were on analgesics for 7.30 \pm 0.54 days as compared to ORC patients (4.57 \pm 1.90 days). No positive surgical margins (PSM) were noted in any patients.

| Characteristics | ORC group (n= 6) | LRC group (n= 7) | P value | 95% CI |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|----------------------|
| Average incision length (cm) | 20.83 ± 0.75 | 7.14 ± 0.37 | < 0.0001 | -14.39% to -12.98% |
| Operative time (min) | 384.16 ± 47.68 | 522.14 ± 45.63 | 0.0002 | 80.95% to 195.00% |
| Estimated Blood Loss (ml) | 554 ± 125.09 | 210.85 ± 42.72 | < 0.0001 | -453.41% to -232.88% |
| Number of Transfusions needed | 3.5 ± 1.87 | 2.28 ± 0.75 | 0.1396 | -2.90% to 0.46% |
| Hb (g/L) | 9.91 ±1.56 | 12.45 ±1.95 | 0.0265 | 0.35% to 4.72% |
| SCR (umol/L) | 1.06 ± 0.20 | 1.20 ±0.41 | 0.4623 | -0.26% to 0.54% |
| Time to liquid intake (d) | 5.83 ± 2.31 | 1.85 ± 0.69 | 0.0011 | -5.98% to -1.97% |
| Time to nasogastric tube removal (d) | 4.83 ± 2.31 | 0 | | |
| Hospital stay after surgery (d) | 9 ± 1.26 | 6.14 ± 0.89 | 0.0006 | -4.17% to -1.54% |
| Days of analgesic administration(d) | 7.30 ± 0.54 | 4.57 ± 1.90 | 0.0061 | -4.50% to -0.95% |

Data are presented as n, n (%) or mean \pm SD.



Table 3: Operative and Postoperative Characteristics

Figure 1: Incision length in ORC patient (ID -IP/05-19/107322)



Figure 2: Incision length in LRC patient (ID-IP/12-20/131860)

3.3 Perioperative complications

Table 4 reports the complications observed in both groups. A total of 8 complications were observed in the ORC patients as compared to LRC patients wherein only 1 patient reported atelectasis which was

managed in ward with high flow oxygen and intensive physiotherapy using spirometer. The complications in the ORC group included-ileus in 5 patients, of which one patient underwent reoperation besides infection and wound dehiscence.

| Complications | ORC group (n= 6) | LRC group (n= 7) | P value | 95% CI |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|-------------------|
| Infection | 1(16.7) | 0 | 0.2796 | -21.28% to 56.38% |
| Ileus/ Intestinal Obstruction | 5 (83.33) | 0 | 0.0031 | 30.12% to 96.99% |
| Wound dehiscence | 1(16.7) | 0 | 0.2796 | -21.28% to 56.38% |
| Re-operation required | 1(16.7) | 0 | 0.2796 | -21.28% to 56.38% |
| Atelectasis | 0 | 1(14.28) | 0.3546 | -26.47% to 51.30% |

Data are presented as n, n (%).

Table 4: Postoperative complications of the two groups

3.4 Outcomes at hospital discharge and 30 days

No in-hospital mortality was reported in either groups. All patients in both groups were discharged in a hemodynamically stable condition. At 30 days follow up, no mortality was observed in LRC group. One patient in the ORC group, reported intestinal

obstruction, abdominal burst and sepsis resulting to death at 30 days.

4. Discussion

Although not many studies of neobladder diversion are

available comparing ORC and LRC, orthotopic neobladder has several advantages including voiding through natural passage, avoidance of external appliance, preservation of body image and superior quality of life [18]. Recently Yuan-hua Liu et al., showed LRC plus neobladder short term curative efficacy with early recovery and good neobladder function [19]. Robotic techniques are increasingly advocated because of their advantages, like reduced blood loss and analgesic requirements with quicker recovery [20,21]. These many times outweigh the robotic health care expenditure in developing nations [22]. This case series conducted in developing India presents the first hand scientific evolutionary transition of RC from ORC to LRC specific to neobladder diversion from ileal conduit. An interesting observation during consultation was that Indian patients prefer neobladder over ileal conduit; reason (driving force) for neobladder preference being social acceptability (absence of noticeable urine bag) and preservation of body image. Although more than 55 RC's were performed, this case series compares neobladder diversion between ORC (n=6) and LRC (n=7). The comparisons emphasize the procedural and outcome variables and the learning experience of the surgeon. Baseline characteristics were similar between the groups. All patients were young adults, with mean age being 56.5 years with similar existing comorbidities. Also tumor stages were similar in both groups. Few studies have shown lymph node yield as a marker of surgical quality and accurate staging [23,24]. As compared to other studies reporting a lymphnode yield of 15, in the present analysis the lymph node yield was around 12 in the LRC group, probably attributing to the initial learning curve for laparoscopic cystectomy with the affirmation of improvement [25]. Since the retrieval number was greater than 10, as per National Comprehensive Cancer Network® (NCCN®) guidelines, the oncological outcomes were not compromised. To support it with the fact that no PSM were reported in the case series. In LRC patients, the midline incision length was significantly smaller (P<0.0001) impacting short term hospital outcomes and quality of life parameters. Although the operative time was longer in LRC group as compared to ORC it was sufficed by reduced time for analgesics, and shorter hospital stay besides earlier time to liquid intake with immediate removal of nasogastric tube. This finding is similar to study by Hemal and Kolla [23]. Blood requirement in terms of transfusion was similar in both groups, this was not surgically inclined by attributed mainly to maintain high Hb content in the patients to speed the healing process post operatively. Although the operative time was longer in the LRC group, associated complications were relatively low as compared to ORC patients. No major complications were observed in the LRC group unlike ORC group where in one patient died due to sepsis, wound dehiscence and intestinal obstruction. Our study confirmed that LRC with minimal incisions has additional benefits of less pain, less complications, shorter recovery time, without compromising early oncological outcomes. The RAZOR trial showed noninferiority of robotic assisted cystectomy vs open, however comparative data with LRC are few [26]. Such case series will help build evidences of neobladder diversions with LRC. Several limitations of this case series including small sample size with short term follow-up will be addressed with larger sample size and longer follow ups in considerations of the pathophysiological findings.

5. Conclusion

Based on the observations of our small study sample peri- and postoperative outcomes are promising for LRC compared to ORC for patients undergoing neobladder in terms of the smaller incision length associated with less pain and complications, with speedy recovery without jeopardizing oncological outcomes.

Acknowledgements

Not Applicable

Authorship confirmation statement

All authors equally contributed

Authors' disclosure statement

Nothing to Disclose

Funding statement

Not applicable

References

- Bernhard K, Fiona CB, George NT. Open radical cystectomy: still the gold standard for muscle invasive bladder cancer. World J Urol 34 (2016): 33-39.
- Konety BR, Allareddy V, Herr H. Complications after radical cystectomy: analysis of population-based data. Urology 68 (2016): 58-64.
- Novara G, De Marco V, Aragona M, et al. Complications and mortality after radical cystectomy for bladder transitional cell cancer. J Urol 182 (2009): 914-921.
- Kauffman EC, Ng CK, Lee MM, et al. Critical analysis of complications after robotic-assisted radical cystectomy with identification of preoperative and operative risk factors. BJU Int 105 (2010): 520-527.
- Donat SM, Shabsigh A, Savage C, et al.
 Potential impact of postoperative early complications on the timing of adjuvant

- chemotherapy in patients undergoing radical cystectomy: a high-volume tertiary cancer center experience. Eur Urol 55 (2009): 177-185.
- Novotny V, Hakenberg OW, Wiessner D, et al. Perioperative complications of radical cystectomy in a contemporary series. Eur Uro 51 (2007): 397-401.
- Kulovac B, Aganovic D, Preic A. Radical cystectomy early postoperative complications and mortality rate. Med Arh 59 (2005): 358-359.
- Chang SS, Smith JA, Wells N, et al. Estimated blood loss and transfusion requirements of radical cystectomy. J Urol 166 (2001): 2151-2154.
- Sathianathen NJ, Kalapara A, Frydenberg M, et al. Robotic-assisted radical cystectomy vs open radical cystectomy: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Urol 201 (2019): 715-720.
- 10. Soria F, Moschini M, D'Andrea D, et al. Comparative effectiveness in perioperative outcomes of robotic versus open radical cystectomy results from a multicenter contemporary retrospective cohort study. Eur Urol Focus 6 (2020): 1233-1239.
- 11. Challacombe BJ, Bochner BH, Dasgupta P, et al. The role of laparoscopic and robotic cystectomy in the management of muscleinvasive bladder cancer with special emphasis on cancer control and complications. Eur Urol 60 (2011): 767-775.
- 12. Hemal AK. Robotic and laparoscopic radical cystectomy in the management of bladder cancer. Curr Urol Rep 10 (2009): 45-54.
- Irwin BH, Gill IS, Haber GP, et al.
 Laparoscopic radical cystectomy: current

- status, outcomes, and patient selection. Curr Treat Options Oncol 10 (2009): 243-255.
- Cathelineau X, Jaffe J. Laparoscopic radical cystectomy with urinary diversion: what is the optimal technique? Curr Opin Urol 17 (2007): 93-97.
- Lane BR, Finelli A, Moinzadeh A, et al. Nerve–sparinglaparoscopic radical cystectomy: technique and initial outcomes. Urology 68 (2006): 778-783.
- 16. Aboumarzouk OM, Drewa T, Olejniczak P, et al. Laparoscopic Radical Cystectomy: a 5– year review of a single institute's operative data and complications and a systematic review of the literature. Int Braz J Urol 38 (2012): 330-340.
- 17. Studer UE, Ackermann D, Casanova GA, et al. Three years' experience with an ileal low pressure bladder substitute. Br J Urol 63 (1989): 43-52.
- Elmajian DA, Stein JP, Esrig D, et al. The Kock ileal neobladder: updated experience in 295 male patients. J Urol 156 (1996): 920-925.
- Yuan-hua L, Hai-tao D, Chang-mao L, et al. Comparative analysis of the clinical effect and safety of Laparoscopic Radical Cystectomy + Orthotopic Ileal Neobladder and Open Surgery. Pak J Med Sci 37 (2021): 59-64.
- Marshall SJ, Hayn MH, Stegemann AP, et al.
 Impact of surgeon and volume on extended

- lymphadenectomy at the time of robot-assisted radical cystectomy: results from the International Robotic Cystectomy Consortium (IRCC). BJU Int 111 (2013): 1075-1080.
- Aboumarzouk OM, Drewa T, Olejniczak P, et al. Laparoscopic radical cystectomy: neobladder or ileal conduit, debate still goes on. Cent European J Urol 67 (2014): 9-15.
- Udwadia TE. Robotic surgery is ready for prime time in India: Against the motion. J Minim Access Surg 11 (2015): 5-9.
- 23. Hemal AK, Kolla SB. Comparison of laparoscopic and open radical cyst prostatectomy for localized bladder cancer with 3-year oncological follow-up: a single surgeon experience. J Urol 178 (2007): 2340-2343.
- 24. Porpiglia F, Renard J, Billia M, et al. Open versus laparoscopy-assisted radical cystectomy: results of a prospective study. J Endourol 21 (2007): 325-329.
- 25. Flaig TW, Spiess PE, Agarwal NM, et al. Bladder cancer Version 3.2020, in NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 18 (2020): 329-354.
- 26. Parekh DJ, Reis IM, Castle EP et al. Robot-assisted radical cystectomy versus open radical cystectomy in patients with bladder cancer (RAZOR): an open-label, randomized, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. Lancet 391 (2018): 2525-2536.



This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license 4.0